PART I OF THE FLY ASH'S IMPACT ON PORTLAND CEMENT SYSTEMS ^{1*}Dr. Sivasubramaniam, ²Asish Nayak ^{1*}Professor, Dept. of Civil Engineering, NIT BBSR, Asst. Professor Dept. of Civil Engineering, BEC, BBSR ^{1*}sivasubramaniyam@thenalanda.com,nayakasish@gmail.com

Abstract

A typical low-calcium fly ash was employed as a mortar ingredient, taking the place of some of the aggregate or Portland cement. Measurements were made on the development of the calcium hydroxide content, porosity, bound water, strength, and heat resistance. Higher strengths were seen in the aggregate replacement after 14 days, whereas in the cement replacement they were seen after 91 days. The amount of active silica in the concrete volume was found to be roughly proportionate to the ultimate strength gain. According to data on bound water content and porosity, fly ash reacts with calcium hydroxide to bind trace amounts of water. The chemical interactions of the low-calcium fly ash in hydrating cement are described in a simplified scheme based on the experimental results. Quantitative formulas for the assessment of the chemical and volumetric composition of a fly ash concrete are proposed using the reaction stoichiometry. The model expressions can be used for concrete performance prediction and mix design.

Keywords: Cement; Fly ash; Modeling; Reaction

1. Introduction

In coal-burning electric power plants, fly ash is the combustion byproduct (coal mineral impurities) that flies out with the flue gas stream and is collected by mechanical separators, electrostatic precipitators, or bag filters. Due to its technological and economic advantages, it has been utilised as an active addition to concrete or as a Portland cement additive for a long time [1-3].

There are two main categories of fly ash: low-calcium fly ash (FL), which is created when anthracite or bituminous coal is burned, and high-calcium fly ash (FH), which is formed when lignite or subbituminous coal is burned. FL is classified as a typical pozzolan, which is silicate glass that has been treated with aluminium and iron [4]. There is less than 10% CaO present. FL is combined with Portland cement, which creates Ca(OH)2 during its hydration, to create the strength-developing products (pozzolanic activity), which FL needs in order to function.

The literature is replete with contributions of a practical or experimental nature about the impact of FL and other pozzolanic or cementitious additives on the characteristics of concrete [1-6]. Despite the wealth of practical information, efforts to describe the pozzolanic activity by chemical reac- tions and quantification of products and pore volume are very limited. Papadakis et al. [7] were the first to propose a general simplified scheme describing the pozzolanic activ- ity in terms of chemical reactions, but without an extended experimental verification. This scheme was found to be valid in the case of silica fume activity in concrete [8].

In the present work, an extended experimental investiga-tion of the activity of FL in Portland cement pastes and mor-tars was carried out, and a quantitative description based on the experimental results is presented. Moreover, the effect of FL addition on main mechanical and durability properties of Portland

ISSN: 2278-4632 Vol-10 Issue-1 January 2020

cement systems as a function of the replacement type (cement or aggregates) is clarified. In a future work, the model will be expanded to include the case of FH.

2. Methods

The same experimental program as described in a previ- ous publication [8] for the case of silica fume was applied. The program included measurements of compressive and flexural strength, $Ca(OH)_2$ (CH), bound H₂O (H), porosity,

pore volume distribution (PVD), and heat development, as

well as microscopy observations on cement and FL-cement pastes and mortars. It is assumed that comparable conclu- sions derived from pastes and mortars may be also applica- ble to concrete.

2

Materials

FL produced in Denmark (Danaske I/S) was used, as de-livered from the producer. A rapid-setting Portland cement was used (400 m²/kg Blaine's fineness). Normal graded sand (2,670 kg/m³ density) and a common plasticizer were used for mortar preparation. The physical and chemical characteristics of the materials are given in Table 1. The glass phase content was estimated at about 75% using opti- cal microscopy. This value is consistent with the mean non- crystalline phase content of 76% reported by McCarthy et al. [9]. Representative samples of cement and FL were ex- amined to obtain a general overview of particle shape and surface texture using a scanning electron microscope (SEM,Fig. 1). Cement particles (Fig. 1a) have irregular polygonal shape. FL (Fig. 1b) consists of glassy spheres of various sizes but similar to the cement particle size. Due to the lower proportion of surface deposits consisting of alkali sul-fate crystals [2], FL tends to show a cleaner appearance un-der the microscope.

Mixture proportions and experimental

A volume unit (1 m^3) of the fresh or hardened mortar was chosen as a common basis. When FL is added to this unit, then an equal volume of another component (either cement or aggregate) was removed in order to keep the same total volume and a common comparison basis.

Mortar specimens were used for mechanical properties and heat measurements. The mixture proportions are sum-marized in Table 2. In the control specimen the water-cement ratio (W/C) was 0.5 and the aggregate-cement ratio (A/C) was 3. When FL replaces a volume of aggregate, three con-tents of FL were selected: 10, 20, and 30% addition to the cement weight, giving specimens FLA1, FLA2, and FLA3,

Table 1

Physical and chemical characteristics of cement and low-calcium fly ash

Cement FL

Physical propertie	es BET specific	surface
$(m^2/g; N_2 adsor$	1.2	
Particle mean di (µm; sedimentation	iameter	
technique) Density (kg/m;	14. 0	13.9



respectively. For cement replacement by FL, the same con- tents were also selected: 10, 20, and 30% replacement of the control cement weight giving specimens FLC1, FLC2, and FLC3, respectively.

The specimen preparation and the experimental tech-niques are described in detail elsewhere [8]. The specimens for strength measurements were prisms of $40 \times 40 \times 160$

mm, cured underwater (CH-saturated) at 20°C, and tested

after 3, 14, 28, 49, 91, 182, and 364 days. Semiadiabatic cal-

orimetry was used for heat development experiments. FL- cement pastes were also prepared, representing the paste matrix of the mortar specimens, and analyzed for CH con- tent, chemically bound H_2O content (hereafter referred to as H content), and porosity at 3, 7, 14, 28, 49, 112, 182, and 364 days after casting. The paste properties have been re- duced to the corresponding mortar specimen volume by multiplying by an appropriate factor (paste volume per mor-tar volume fraction).

3. Results and discussion

Strength measurement results

In Fig. 2a, the results (reduced to a common air content, 3.3%) from compressive strength measurements on control and FLA (low-calcium fly ash replaces aggregate) mortars are presented. The compressive strengths of FLA specimens are similar to the controls at 3 and 14 days, but are higher from 28 days and later. This delay is explained as due to the significantly large size of the fly ash particles, which results in low surface area available for reaction, and also as due to the pore solution chemistry [10–13]. The strength increase is due to the higher content of calcium silicate hydrate (CSH; the main carrier of strength in hardened cement) in the FLA specimens due to the reaction of the CH produced from cement hydration with the active silica (S) of the FL. There is a reasonable distribution of the strength increase according to the fly ash content (10, 20, and 30% on the ce- ment weight), but beginning from 91 days it seems that there is no difference among FLA2 and FLA3 specimens. The strength gain for the FLA2 specimen is about 25% after 1 year of hydration.

Table 2									
Absolute and relative Al_2O_3	e mixture propor 4.25	tions for mortar 20.40	specimens ^a Specimen C	W	Р	А	W/C	P/C	A/C
Fe ₂ O ₃	3.49	8.66	Contro 514.6	257	0.0	154	0.50	0.00	3.0
CaO	63.20 (1.48	3.38 (0.36	I FLA1 514.6	25/	51.5	3.8 148	0.50	0.10	-2.8
SO ₃	2.88	0.60	FLA2 514.6	257	102.	142	0.50	0.20	2.7
L.O.I.	0.86	2.20	FLA3 514.6	257	154,	1.7	0.50	0.30	2.6
Mineralogical			FLC1 463.1	257	51.5^{4}	0.6 152	0.5	0.1	3.3
Insoluble residue	0.14	/8.4	FLC2 411.7	25/	102.	0.0 150	50 U.6	0.2	-3.ģ
Glass phase	_	75	FLC3 360.2	. 4 257	154.	9.4 149	0.7	0.4	4.1
coment (%)				.4	4	2.3	15	29	4

Copyright @ 2020 Authors

ISSN: 2278-4632 Vol-10 Issue-1 January 2020

^a The methods specified by the European Standards, EN-450, EN-196, and EN-451 were followed. The insoluble residue was determined by EN- 196-2. The glass phase content was determined by optical microscopy.

^a C, W, P, A: kg of cement, water, FL, and aggregate, respectively, per m³ of total mortar volume; W/C, P/C, A/C: the water-cement, FL-cement, and aggregate-cement ratio (by weight), respectively.





Fig. 1. SEM micrographs (8,000×) of Portland cement (a) and low-calcium fly ash (b).

hen fly ash replaces cement (Fig. 2b) the strength is re-duced, at first due to lower activity of the large fly ash parti-cles, but as time proceeds this gap is gradually eliminated. The reduction is proportional to the fly ash content. After 6 months for FLC1 and FLC2 specimens and after 1 year for the FLC3 specimen, the strength becomes higher than that of the control. Moreover, the FLC2 specimen presents the highest strength. This ultimate improvement is due to the higher active silica content (40%) of low-calcium fly ash incomparison with the cement (20%).

The results of flexural strength measurements are similaro those of compressive strength, but due to their higherscattering will not be discussed further.

Heat development results

The heat development curves of control and FLA2 speci- mens are almost identical for the first few days, presenting after 1 week some differences that finally lead to higher val-ues of liberated heat for the FLA2 mixture (Fig. 3). This in- crease seems to be smooth and may be correlated with a dif- fusion-controlling mechanism of the pozzolanic reaction.



Fig. 2. Compressive strength development of mortars when FL replaces (a) aggregate (10, 20, and 30%

Vol-10 Issue-1 January 2020

ISSN: 2278-4632

addition to the cement weight) and (b) cement (10, 20, and 30% cement replacement).

These results strengthen the above conclusions for the initi-ation of the pozzolanic reactions, which in the case of FL takes place some time after the initiation of cement hydra- tion (1-3 weeks).

H, CH, and porosity measurement results

The H content (kg H_2O/m^3 mortar) of the control and FLA specimens is shown in Fig. 4a. An important observation is that the control specimen as well as all FLA specimens have almost the same H content, at least for the first 3 months of hydration. This is in agreement with a previous publication's result regarding silica fume (SF) activity in concrete [8]. It was shown that the pozzolanic reaction of SF (essentially the reaction of the S of SF with the CH of the hydrating cement) does not include any additional water. However, a lower bound water content was observed at intermediate ages. This behavior was explained as due to the selective reaction of the CH with the SF instead of its re-Fig. 3. Comparative heat development results for control and fly ash(FLA2) mixtures.

action with the C_3A and C_4AF phases of cement, which con-tribute significantly to water binding. In the case of FL, due to its significant content in the aluminate phase, such de- crease in H content is not observed. A selective reaction of CH with fly ash may also take place, but the high content of aluminate in fly ash counterbalances the H content loss.

After 3 months, the H content values for FLA specimens exceeded those of the controls. It seems that during this late period the remaining amounts of the C₃A and C₄AF phases of cement have reacted, binding additional water. Because water binding is the cause of the pore volume reduction, the porosity \Box (m³ of pores/m³ mortar) should be expected to follow the H content development results, as was indeed found (Fig. 4b). When FL replaces cement, a decrease in theH content and an increase in the total porosity was ob- served.

The CH content (kg CH/m³ mortar) for the control and FLA specimens is shown in Fig. 5. It can be seen that the CH content of the control specimen increases with time un-til a steady state is attained. The CH content of FLA speci- mens follows the CH content of the control, but after 14 days is consumed at a slow rate. By 28 days and later, CH consumption and therefore pozzolanic reactions proceed at higher rates.

Microscopy analysis and PVD results

In Fig. 6 typical SEM images of the FLA2 paste speci- men are shown at different ages of hydration. It is interest- ing that FL particles are used as sites where cement hydra- tion products (CH, ettringite) are grown the first 3 days (Fig. 6a). This is because of their acidic character and thus they have great affinity for lime and alkalis. In the early hy-

ISSN: 2278-4632 Vol-10 Issue-1 January 2020



Fig. 4. (a) Chemically bound water content and (b) porosity of mortars with low-calcium fly ash to replace aggregate (0, 10, 20, and 30% addition to the cement weight) as a function of time.

dration period of 0–21 days no traces of reaction among FL particles can be detected, at least for the FL particle size that can be observed (Fig. 6b). After 28 days, the ash particles are extensively etched and surrounded by hydration prod- ucts, while they retain their spherical shape (Fig. 6c). When pozzolanic reaction has significantly proceeded (6 months), FL particles are difficult to identify because they are covered by the reaction products (Fig. 6d). Few entirely round particles are still distinguished (nonreacted).

The volume of micropores (pores with diameter less than 20 nm) of well-hydrated pastes (6 months) was measured using N_2 adsorption. This quantity (cm³/g) was converted to content of micropores in the total pore volume. The micropore content of the FLA2 specimen (10%) was found to be significantly higher than that of the control (6%). Thus, although control and FLA2 specimens have almost the same total porosity, the latter presents significant pore refinement.

Fig. 5. Calcium hydroxide content in mortars with low-calcium fly ash to replace aggregate (0, 10, 20, and 30% addition by cement weight) as a function of time.

4. Theoretical approach of FL activity in Portland cement systems

Determination of products and reaction stoichiometry

Fly ash is a complex material consisting of a wide range of glass and crystalline compounds. Although these com- pounds, as well as their hydration products in the presence of CH, are generally nonstoichiometric, simplifying as- sumptions are made herein for modeling purposes.

As given in the materials section, FL is primarily com- posed of SiO₂ (S, 53.5%), Al₂O₃ (A, 20.4%), and Fe₂O₃ (F,

%). It also contains a small amount of CaO (3.4%) and very small amounts of other compounds.

In the case of this fly ash, in reactive form there is alumi-nosilicate (A-S) glass with a high content of S. One should expect the hydration product of an aluminosilicate glass/hy-drated lime mixture to be a C-S-H gel incorporating signifi- cant amounts of A. As a first approximation, the S of A-S glass is proposed to react with CH without additional water binding and to form a calcium silicate hydrate described by the simplified formula of $C_3S_2H_3$, as was shown elsewhere using almost pure vitreous silica (silica fume [8]). The main difference is in the reaction rate; a lower rate is observed in the case of FL due to higher particle size. The S present as quartz or in crystalline aluminosilicate phases is inert [1,2].

Similarly, reactive alumina is found only in the alumino-silicate glass, whereas the alumina part in the crystalline phases is not reactive [1,2]. Thermodynamic study of the CaO-Al₂O₃-SiO₂-SO₃-H₂O system [1] confirms that phases that originate after reaction of fly ash with lime can be:

• hydrated calcium silicate C-S-H, which appears as ill-crystallized gel

• hexagonal tetracalcium aluminate hydrate C₄AH₁₃

• hydrated gehlenite C₂ASH₈

ISSN: 2278-4632 Vol-10 Issue-1 January 2020



Fig. 6. Scanning electron micrographs $(8,000\times)$ of 20% FL-cement paste after (a) 3, (b) 14, (c) 49, and (d) 182 days of hydration.

ISSN: 2278-4632 Vol-10 Issue-1 January 2020

• ettringite C₃A.3CS.H₃₂

• monosulfate $\overline{C_4}ASH_{12}$

These reactions proceed with additional binding of water, asH content and porosity results show. It must be emphasized that ettringite is converted to monosulfate soon after the

 $0.82 \times 20.4 = 17\%$ active Al₂O₃, and the rest (39%) is inert.

According to the above analysis, the following simpli- fied equations may describe the pozzolanic activity of the low-calcium fly ash [see Eq. (1), Eq. (2), and Eq. (3)]:

gypsum is consumed. Hydrated gehlenite is formed in a high-lime system and in the presence of gypsum gradually decreases and eventually disappears after 60 days [5]. The same hydration products of fly ash in the presence of CH have been reported by numerous researchers [10,11,14–20]. $2S + 3CH \square C_3S_2H_3$

 $A + CSH_2 + 3CH + 7H \square C_4ASH_{12}A + 4CH + 9H \square C_4\overline{AH_{13}}(1)$

(2)

(3)

It seems also that in both fly ashes (low- and high-cal- cium) the ferrous phase is found mostly in crystalline form, as hematite (Fe_2O_3) or magnetite (Fe_3O_4), and thus does not participate in the pozzolanic reactions [2,14,18].

Assuming for the modeling purposes that all F content belongs to nonglass content, the remaining constituents would have a glass content of 75/(100 - 8.7) = 82%. Therefore, it can be assumed, as a rough approximation, that this particular FL contains $0.82 \times 53.5 = 44\%$ active SiO₂,

The reaction shown in Eq. (2), which dominates in the high-gypsum system [21], takes place through several intermedi- ate steps including the reaction seen in Eq. (3), ettringite formation, and ettringite conversion to monosulfate. Thus, in an excess of gypsum the total pozzolanic reaction of the active alumina is described by Eq. (2). The reliability of the above equations can be verified through the CH and H con-tent results compared with their theoretical predictions, based on the above reactions.

Quantification of the final products

A common reference base is used in these calculations: 1 m^3 of concrete (or mortar), which contains C, W, A, and P kg of Portland cement, water, aggregate and pozzolan (FL), respectively. When a blended cement is used, the pozzolan must be separated and included in the P content.

The Portland cement and the FL can be analyzed in terms of

$$CSH = 2.85 \Box f_{S} \Box cC + \Box Sf_{S} \Box pP \Box$$

$$CASH = \Box 6.106 f_{A} \Box c - 3.898 f_{F} \Box c \Box C + 6.106 \Box A f_{A} \Box pPCAFH = 5.099 f_{F} \Box cC$$

$$R = \Box f_{R} \Box c + 1.7 f_{S} \Box c - 1.689 f_{A} \Box c + 1.078 f_{F} \Box c \Box C$$

$$+ \Box 1 - \Box Sf_{S} \Box p - 2.689 \Box A f_{A} \Box p \Box P$$
(6)

(7)

- (8)

(9)

oxides: total CaO (C), free CaO (Cf), SiO₂ (S), Al₂O₃ (A), Fe₂O₃ (F), SO₃ (S), and other oxides or impurities denoted by

 $= \Box 0.321 \Box f$

 $C \square c - 0.7f$

 $S \square c^{\square}$

R. Let us denote as $f_{i,c}$ and $f_{i,p}$ the weight fractions of the con+ +1.236 $f_{A\square c}$ - 0.113 $f_{B\square c}$ \square C + 1.236 $\square A f_{A\square p}$ P

tituent i (i = C, Cf, S, A, F, S, and R) in the cement and poz-zolan, respectively. As mentioned above, not all of the total mass of the oxide i in a pozzolan is active (only the glass phase,

with the exception of FH where some crystalline phases are also active). Let us denote by γ_i the weight fraction of the oxide *i* in the pozzolan that contributes to the pozzolanic reactions ("active" ratio). In the case of FL, the weight fractions of S and A in fly ash, $f_{S,p}$ and $f_{A,p}$, and their active (glass) part, γ_S and γ_A

respectively, are required for the quantitative calculations. If, however, active ferrous phases are included [9], then a γ_F term

For the completion of the pozzolanic activity, the left- hand side of Eq. (5) must be positive. Otherwise, there will not be enough lime solution to react with the entire quantity of the active S and A of the FL. If CH = 0 in Eq. (5), the maximum pozzolan content that can react with all lime produced during cement hydration, P_{max} , can be obtained [see Eq. (11)]:

In the Appendix, the Portland cement hydration reac- tions, the molar weights of the reactants and products, and a quantification of the final products in a Portland cement concrete are given. When low-calcium fly ash is present in concrete, in addition to the Portland cement hydration reac- tions, the pozzolanic reactions seen in Eq. (1) through Eq.

(3) take place. Two different cases can be distinguished ac-

If the gypsum content is *lower* than that required for ce- ment hydration and for complete pozzolanic reaction of the active part of fly ash alumina [i.e., see Eq. (12)]:

 $CSH_2 \square \square 1.689 f_A \square c - 1.078 f_F \square c \square C + 1.689 \square A f_A \square p^P$ or cording to the level of total gypsum present. If the gypsum content is *higher* than that required for full hydration of the cement and complete pozzolanic reaction of fly ash alu-

$$f_{S \square c} \square 0.785 f_{A \square c} - 0.501 f_{F \square c} +$$

 $0.785 \square A f A \square p \square P \square C \square$

(12)

mina, Eq. (2); i.e. [see Eq. (4)]:

ISSN: 2278-4632 Vol-10 Issue-1 January 2020

 $CSH_2 \square \square 1.689 f_A \square c - 1.078 f_F \square c \square C + 1.689 \square A f_A \square p P$ or $f_{S \square c} \square 0.785 f_{A \square c} - 0.501 f_{F \square c} + 0.785 \square A f_{A \square p} \square P \square C \square (4)$

then, Eq. (3) does not take place (these factors have been calculated using the stoichiometry of Portland cement and fly ash hydration reactions, and the molar weights given in

then, it is assumed that Eq. (2) takes place until all gypsum is consumed, and the remaining active A reacts only with CH according to the reaction in Eq. (3) giving C_4AH_{13} (CAH). The remaining gypsum that reacts with A according

to Eq. (2) is $(2.15f_{s,c} - 1.689f_{A,c} + 1.078f_{F,c})$ C. In this case, the following "final" contents are calculated as seen in Eqs.

(13) through (19):

_

 $CH = \Box 1.321 f C \Box c - 1.851 f S \Box c - 2.907 f A \Box c - 0.928 f F \Box c \Box C$

Appendix; Table A1). Thus, the S and A of the fly ash con-sume the CH produced during Portland cement hydration according to the reactions seen in Eqs. (1) and (2), respec- tively. Taking into account the stoichiometry of these reac- tions and the Portland cement hydration as well and using the molar weights of reactants and products, the amounts of the "finally" produced compounds can be determined (after the completion of the hydration and pozzolanic activity). These amounts of CH, $C_3S_2H_3$ (CSH), C_4ASH_{12} (CASH),

 C_6AFH_{12} (CAFH), remaining constituents (R), and bound water (H) are given as follows, in kg/m³ of concrete [see Eqs. (5) through (10)]:

CH =
$$[1.321] f C = c - 0.7 f_{S} = c = -1.851 f_{S} = c + 2.182 f_{A} = c + 1.392 f_{F} = c = C = -1.851 f_{S} = c + 2.182 f_{A} = c + 1.392 f_{F} = c = C = -1.851 f_{S} = c + 2.907 A f_{A} = p = PCSH = 2.85 = f_{S} = c + 1.575 = p^{P} = CASH = 7.774 f_{S} = c^{C} = -7.0 f_{S} = c = C = -5.497 f_{A} = c - 3.509 f_{F} = c - 7.0 f_{S} = c = C = -5.497 A f_{A} = p^{P}$$

CAFH = 5.099 f_{F} = cC
R = $[f_{R}, c - 0.45 f_{S}, c = C + -1 - -5 f_{S}, p - A f_{A}, p = PH = -0.321 f_{C} = c - 0.675 f_{S} = c + 1.590$
 $f_{A} = c = -0.339 f_{F} = c = C + 1.590 = A f_{A} = p^{P}$
(13)
(14)
(15)
(16)
(17)
(18)
(19)
Page | 588
Copyright @ 2020 Authors

$$-\Box 1.851\Box SfS\Box p + 2.182\Box AfA\Box p\Box P$$

(5)For this case, P_{max} is [see Eq. (20)]:

 $P_{\text{max}} =$ $\begin{array}{c} 1.321 f C \square c - 1.851 f S \square c - 2.907 f A \square c - 0.928 f F \square c \square C \\ \hline \square p \\ = \square \text{CSH}_2 \square_{\text{rem}}^- \square \text{V} \\ A \square S \\ \hline - \square 1.851 \square S f S \square p + 2.182 \square A f A \square p \square \\ (20) \\ + \square A f A \square p P - 0.5922 \square \text{CSH}_2 \square_{\text{rem}}^- \square \text{V}_A \end{array}$

(26)

As can be observed from the above equations, the CSH content increases with FL addition, regardless of whether FL replaces aggregates or cement, because $\gamma_{S} f_{S,p}$ is usually higher than $f_{S,c}$. In the latter case, however, there is an upper limit in P content, higher than P_{max} [see Eq. (21)]:

2.85
$$\Box f S \Box c \Box C - P \Box + \Box S f S \Box p P_{max} \Box \Box 2.85 f S \Box c C \Box$$

The remaining gypsum. (CSH₂)_{num}, which reacts with A ac-

cording to Eq. (2) is $(2.15f_{s,c} - 1.689f_{A,c} + 1.078f_{F,c})$ C. Ac-cording to the reactions' stoichiometry and using the molar volumes of the solid reactants and products (see the Appen-

dix and [22–24], the molar volume difference for the reac- tion in Eq. (2) is: $\Delta V_{A,s} = 0.6987 \times 10^{-3}$ m³/kg CSH₂, and for the reaction in Eq. (3) is: $\Delta V_A = (272.07 \times 10^{-6} \text{ m}^3/\text{ m}^3/$

 $\mathbf{P} \Box \Box \Box SfS \Box p \Box fS \Box c \Box \mathbf{P}_{\max}$

The H content, when FL replaces aggregates (C constant), in-creases due to the active alumina content of the FL. Obvi- ously, the following equation must be satisfied [see Eq. (22)]:

CH + CSH + CASH + CAH + CAFH + R = C + P + H

 10^{-3} m³/kg A. Thus, the final value of the porosity of the FL concrete, when Eq. (12) is satisfied, is given by Eq. (27):

 $\Box = \Box_{\text{air}} + W \Box \Box_{W} - \Box 0.249 f C \Box c - 0.1 f_{S \Box c} + 0.191 f S \Box c + 1.059 f A \Box c - 0.319 f F \Box c \Box$

Estimation of final pore volume × $\Box C \Box 1000 \Box - \Box 1.121 \Box A f A \Box p \Box \Box P \Box 1000 \Box$ (27) (22)

The concrete porosity \Box , is given by Eq. (23):

$$\Box = \Box_{air} + W \Box \Box_{W} - \Box \Box_{h} - \Box \Box_{p} - \Box \Box_{c}$$

(23)

According to Eq. (25) or (27), the porosity always de- creases when FL replaces aggregates (C: constant). On the other hand, when FL replaces cement the porosity increases

where \Box

air

is the volume fraction of entrapped or entrained

proportionally with the amount replaced.

air in concrete, p_w the water density (1000 kg/m³), and $\Delta \Box_h, \Delta \Box_p, \Delta \Box_c$ are the porosity reductions due to hydration of Portland cement, pozzolanic activity, and carbonation, re- spectively. The term $\Delta \Box_h$ was calculated and presented in a previous publication [8]. In the same publication, the porosity reduction due to the pozzolanic reaction of S, Eq. (1),

4.4. Application

The model equations will be applied for the specimens of the present work (C, P, and W contents are given in Table 2). For cement: *f* =

= 0.632, f = 0.201, f = 0.0425, fwas found equal to zero. The porosity reduction, because of 0.0349, f

F,c - the pozzolanic reaction of FL, is due to the reaction of A [Eqs. (2) and (3)].

If the gypsum content is *higher* than the maximum re- quired [i.e., when Eq. (4) holds], then the term $\Delta \Box_p$ is calcu-lated only from the reaction in Eq. (2) [see Eq. (24)]:

 $s_{,p} = 0.0288$, and $f_{R,c} = 0.0608$. For FL: $f_{S,p} = 0.535$, $f_{A,p} = 0.204$, and $\gamma_S = \gamma_A = 0.82$. In almost all specimens (except FLA1), the gypsum con-

tent is lower than that required for complete reaction with A of cement and fly ash. Thus, the Eqs. (13)

through (19) are mainly used. P_{max}/C is calculated as 0.24 kg FL/kg cement.

 $\Box \Box p = \Box \Box A f A \Box p P \Box \Box V A \Box S$

(24)

By applying the above equations, the "final" composition of the FL specimens is given in Table 3. The calculated values where $\Delta V_{A,s}$ is the molar volume difference for the reaction in Eq. (2), in m³/kg. It can be calculated knowing the sto-

ichiometry of the reactions and the molar volumes of the solid reactants and products (see the Appendix and [22-24]: $\Delta V_{A,s} = (319.24 \times 10^{-6} \text{ m}^3/\text{gmol C}_4\text{ASH}_{12} - 25.49 \times 10^{-6} \text{ m}^3/\text{gmol C}_4\text{ASH}_{12}$

$$10^{-6} \text{ m}^3/\text{gmol A} - 74.21 \times 10^{-6} \text{ m}^3/\text{gmol CSH}_2 - \frac{3}{3} \times$$

 $33.08 \times 10^{-6} \text{ m}^3/\text{gmol CH}/(101.96 \times 10^{-3} \text{ kgA/gmolA}) =$

 1.18×10^{-3} m³/kg A. By substituting $\Delta \Box_h$ and $\Delta \Box_p$ terms in Eq. (23), the final value of the porosity of a noncarbonated

FL concrete is given by Eq. (25):

$$= \Box_{\text{air}} + W \Box \Box_{W} - \Box 0.249 \Box f C \Box c - 0.7 f \Box c \Box + 0.191 f S \Box c + 1.118 f A \Box c - 0.357 f F \Box c \Box C \Box 1000 \Box$$

Copyright @ 2020 Authors

of CH and H cannot completely be compared with the ex- perimental values of 1-year hydration due to the relatively lower degree of pozzolanic reaction. However, the experi- mental values show a trend toward the theoretical values. For example, the experimentally determined CH content (98 kg/m^3) for the FLA1 specimen is close to the estimated one (91 kg/m^3). A higher deviation is observed for FLA2 and FLA3 specimens, where the fly ash content is higher. The only qualitative indication derived from the calculated H contents is that they follow the experimental trend present- ing higher values than the control.

As was mentioned, if $P < (\gamma_S f_{S,p} / f_{S,c}) P_{max}$ and for all

$$-\Box 1.18\Box A f A \Box p \Box \Box P \Box 1000\Box$$

(25)

cases of replacement, CSH content is higher than that of the control specimen. When FL replaces aggregates by 10, 20, and

If the gypsum content is *lower* than that required for ce- ment hydration and for complete pozzolanic reaction [i.e., when Eq. (12) holds], then the term $\Delta \Box_p$ is calculated from both Eq. (2) and Eq. (3) [see Eq. (26)]:

30% (by weight of cement) then CSH increase is 22, 44, and 52%, respectively. When FL replaces cement by 10, 20, and 30%, then CSH increase is 12, 21, and 6%, respectively (it passes through a maimum, as the experimental results

Table 3

Final chemical (in kg/m³) and volume composition of FL specimens

	Contr	FLA1	FLA2	FLA3	FLC1	FLC2	FLC3
	(0% FL)	(10% FL, aggr repl)	(20% FL, aggr repl)	(30% FL, aggr repl)	(10% FL, cem repl)	(20% FL, cem repl)	(30% FL, cem repl)
CH	152	91	24	0	75	0	0
CSH	295	359	423	447	330	357	313
CASH	64	116	115	115	104	92	81
CAH	0	0	48	65	5	52	46
CAFH	92	92	92	92	82	73	64
R	39	45	65	105	42	64	120
Н	126	137	150	155	124	124	109
(m^3/m^3)	0.14	0.131	0.121	0.118	0.143	0.146	0.160
)	1						

aggr repl: aggregate replacement; cem repl: cement replacement.

ISSN: 2278-4632 Vol-10 Issue-1 January 2020

also showed). These increases are roughly proportional to the strength results.

By applying Eq. (25), in the case of FLA1, and Eq. (27) in the other cases and for $\Box_{air} = 0$, the "final" porosity of the FL specimens is given in Table 3. When FL replaces aggre-gates by 10, 20, and 30% (by weight of cement) then the po-rosity decreases by 7, 14, and 16%, respectively. When FL replaces cement by 10, 20, and 30%, then the porosity in- creases by 1, 3, and 13%, respectively.

5. Conclusions

1. When aggregates or cement are replaced by low-cal- cium fly ash (up to a certain level, P_{max}) higher strengths are observed. In the former case the strength enhancement is significantly higher and obvious 2–3 weeks after the mixing, whereas in the latter case the enhancement is much lower and obvious after 3

sign and performance prediction of fly ash/Portland cement systems giving a first quantitative approxima-tion.

months. The final strength gain is roughly propor-³ tional to the content of active silica

2. FL reacts with the CH, binding small amounts of wa-ter and thus, in the case of aggregate replacement,

C₃A, C₄AF, CSH₂) in Portland cement can be determined

from the oxide analysis using Bogue's formulas [22; seeEqs. (A1) through (A5)]:

$$C_{3}S = 4.071 \Box f C \Box c - f C f \Box c - 0.7 f_{S \Box c} \Box$$

gives higher bound water content and lower total po-rosity than the control specimen.

3. Using the experimental results and information from

 $-\Box 7.60 f_{S\Box c} + 6.72 f_{A\Box c} + 1.43 f_{F\Box c} \Box$

 $C_2S = 2.867 f_{S\square c} - 0.754 \square C_3S \square$ (A1)

(A2)

the literature, a first approximation of the reactions and products of FL in Portland cement systems was

 $C_3A = 2.65 f$

 $A \square c - 1.692 f$

 $F\square c$

(A3) proposed.C4AF = $3.043 f F \square c$ (A4)

4. Based on this reaction scheme, a theoretical modelpredicting the behavior of concrete containing FL was

CSH₂

= 2.15 f

 $\overline{S} \square c$ Page | 592 (A5)

developed. This model comprises quantitative expres-sions for the estimation of the final chemical and vol- umetric composition of FL concrete. The experimen- tal results referred to 1 year of hydration show a trend

Although the chemical reactions of hydration of these phases are complex and do not proceed to completion, a simplified view may be presented as follows [21,22; see Eqs. (A6) through (A9)]: toward the theoretical values referred to infinite time.

 $2C S + 6H \square C S H$

5. Knowing these characteristics (CSH, CH, H content, ${}^{3}3$ 2 3

and porosity), the concrete strength and durability can be determined indirectly. Intermediate values can be

2

 $2C_2S + 4H \square C_3S_2H_3 + CH$

 $C A + CSH + 10H \square C ASH$

(A7)

(A8)

obtained using the presented experimental results.³

4 12 Thus the model evenessions can be seen

Thus, the model expressions can be applied in mix de-

 $C_4AF + 2CH + 10H \square C_6AFH_{12}$

A9)

These reactions are valid in an excess of gypsum [i.e., when $CSH_2 > 0.637C_3A$ or, equivalently, $f_{s,C} > (\overline{0.786}f_{A,C} - 0.501f_{F,C})$; as applies in typical Portland cements]. Using the stoichiometry of these reactions and the molar weights of the reactants and products (given in Table A1), the amounts

of the finally produced compounds can be estimated. Eqs. (A1) through (A5) can be used to express these amounts as a function of the oxide contents in cement. Thus, the amounts of CH, $C_3S_2H_3$ (CSH), C_4ASH_{12} (CASH), - –

 C_6AFH_{12} (CAFH), remaining constituents (R), and chemi- cally bound water (H) are given as follows, in kg/m³ of con-crete [see Eqs. (A10) through (A15)]:

 $CH = \Box 1.321 \Box f C \Box c - 0.7 f_{S \Box c} \Box$ $- \Box 1.851 f S \Box c + 2.182 f A \Box c + 1.392 f F \Box c \Box \Box C$ (A10)

References

- [1] R. Sersale, Aspects of the chemistry of additions, in: S.N. Ghosh (Ed.), Advances in Cement Technology, Pergamon Press, New York, 1983, p. 537.
- [2] P.K. Mehta, Pozzolanic and cementitious by-products in concrete— Another look, in: Proceedings of the 3d International Conference on the Use of Fly Ash, Silica Fume, Slag, and Natural Pozzolans in Con-crete, ACI SP-114, Trondheim, 1989, p. 1.
- [3] ACI Committee 226, Use of fly-ash in concrete, ACI Mater J 84 (1987) 381.
- [4] RILEM 73-SBC Committee, Siliceous by-products for use in con- crete, Mater and Struct Jan. (1988) 69.
- [5] F. Massazza, Pozzolanic cements, Cem Concr Comp 15 (1993) 185.
- [6] A.M. Neville, Properties of concrete, Longman, Essex, 1995.
- [7] V.G. Papadakis, M.N. Fardis, C.G. Vayenas, Hydration and carbon- ation of pozzolanic cements,

ISSN: 2278-4632 Vol-10 Issue-1 January 2020

ACI Mater J 89 (1992) 119.

[8] V,G, Papadakis, Experimental investigation and theoretical modeling of silica fume activity in concrete, Cem Concr Res 29 (1999) 79.

 $CSH = 2.85 f S \square cC$

CASH = $\Box 6.106 f$ - 3.898 f $\Box C$ (A11) (A12)

[9] G.J. McCarthy, J.K. Solem, O.E. Manz, D.J. Hasset, Use of a data-base of chemical, mineralogical and physical properties of North

 $A \square c$

 $CAFH = 5.099 f F \square cC$ $F \square c$

(A13)

American fly ash to study the nature of fly ash and its utilization as a mineral admixture in concrete, Mat Res Soc Symp 178 (1990) 3.

[10] S. Urhan, Alkali silica and pozzolanic reactions in concrete. Part 1: $\mathbf{R} = \Box f_{\mathbf{R}\Box \ c} + 1.7 f_{S\Box \ c} - 1.689 f_{\mathbf{A}\Box \ c} + 1.078 f_{\mathbf{F}\Box \ c} \Box \mathbf{C}$

 $\begin{aligned} \mathbf{H} &= \ \Box 0.321 \Box f C \Box \ c - 0.7 f_{S \Box} \ c \\ &+ 1.236 f A \Box \ c - 0.113 f F \Box \ c \ \Box \mathbf{C} \end{aligned}$ (A14)

(A15)

Interpretation of published results and a hypothesis concerning the mechanism, Cem Concr Res 17 (1987) 141.

[11] A.L.A. Fraay, J.M. Bijen, Y.M. de Haan, The reaction of fly ash inconcrete. A critical examination. Cem Concr Res 19 (1989) 235.

[12] Y. Maltais, J. Marchand, Influence of curing temperature on cement

In the above equations, free CaO was assumed to be com- pletely converted to CH, whereas the remaining oxides (MgO, Na₂O, K₂O) was assumed not to participate in the re-actions.

Table A1

Molar weights and volumes of the main compounds found in Portland cement and Portland cementbased binders^a

	Molar	Density \times	Molar
	weight \times		volume \times
Compound	10^{3}	10^{-3}	10^{6}
1	(kg/gmol)	(kg/m^3)	(m ³ /gmol)
$\overline{C_3S}$	228.30	3.20	71.34
C_2S	172.22	3.30	52.19
C_3A	270.18	3.03	89.17
C_4AF	485.96	3.77	128.90
CSH_2	172.17	2.32	74.21
Н	18.02	1.00	18.02
CH	74.10	2.24	33.08
C3S2H3	342.41	≈2.28	≈150

C_4ASH_{12}	622.51	1.95	319.24
C4AH13	560.47	2.06	272.07
$C_3A \cdot 3CS \cdot$	1255.13	1.78	705.13
C_{6}^{32} AFH ₁₂	814.31	2.65	307.87
$C_8AFS_2H_{24}$	1302.44	≈2.3	≈560
$C_{8}AFH_{26}$	1178.29	≈2.3	≈ 500
CC	100.09	2.71	36.93
C	56.08	3.32	16.89
S	60.08	2.20	27.28
А	101.96	4.00	25.49
F	159.69	5.24	30.48
Ŝ	80.07	—	—

^a Values taken from refs. [22–24].

hydration and mechanical strength development of fly ash mortars, Cem Concr Res 27 (1997) 1009.

[13] A. Katz, Microscopic study of alkali-activated fly ash, Cem Concr Res 28 (1998) 197.

- [14] G.J. McCarthy, K.D. Swanson, L.P. Keller, W.C. Blatter, Mineralogy of western fly ash, Cem Concr Res 14 (1984) 471.
- [15] P.C. Aitcin, F. Autefage, A. Carles-Gibergues, A. Vaquier, Compara-tive study of the cementitious properties of different fly ashes, in: Proceedings of the 2d International Conference on the Use of Fly Ash, Silica Fume, Slag, and Natural Pozzolans in Concrete, ACI SP- 91, Madrid, 1986, p. 91.
- [16] M. Atkins, D.E. Macphee, F.P. Glasser, Chemical modeling in blended cement systems, in: Proceedings of the 3d International Con- ference on the Use of Fly Ash, Silica Fume, Slag, and Natural Poz- zolans in Concrete, ACI SP-114, Trondheim, 1989, p. 73.
- [17] D.M. Roy, Fly ash and silica fume chemistry and hydration, in: Pro- ceedings of the 3d International Conference on the Use of Fly Ash, Silica Fume, Slag, and Natural Pozzolans in Concrete, ACI SP-114, Trondheim, 1989, p. 117.
- [18] E.E. Berry, R.T. Hemmings, W.S. Langley, G.G. Carette, Benefici- ated fly ash: Hydration, microstructure, and strength development in Portland cement systems, in: Proceedings of the 3d International Conference on the Use of Fly Ash, Silica Fume, Slag, and Natural Pozzolans in Concrete, ACI SP-114, Trondheim, 1989, p. 241.
- ^[19] M.P. Luxan, M.I. Sanchez de Rojas, M. Frias, Investigations on the fly ash: Calcium hydroxide reactions, Cem Concr Res 19 (1989) 69.
- ^[20] C. He, B. Osbaeck, E. Makovicky, Pozzolanic reactions of six princi-pal clay minerals: Activation, reactivity assessments and technologi- cal effects, Cem Concr Res 25 (1995) 1691.
- [21] J. Bensted, Hydration of Portland cement, in: S.N. Ghosh (Ed.), Ad- vances in Cement Technology, Pergamon Press, New York, 1983, p. 307.
- [22] F.M. Lea, The Chemistry of Cement and Concrete, Edward Arnold Ltd., London, 1970.
- [23] H.M. Jennings, The developing microstructure in Portland cement, in: S.N. Ghosh (Ed.), Advances in Cement Technology, Pergamon Press, New York, 1983, p. 537.
- [24] R.H. Perry, D.W. Green, Perry's Chemical Engineers' Handbook, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1984.