ISSN: 2278-4632
Vol-14, Issue-5, May: 2024

NUMERICAL EXPLORATION AND ANALYSIS OF STUDY ON BOUNDARY VALUE PROBLEM FOR FUZZY DIFFERENTIAL EQUATION

Shivani Bajpayi Research Scholar, Department of Mathematics, Sri Satya Sai University of Technology & Medical Sciences, Sehore, India

Sonal Bharti Associate Professor, Department of Mathematics, Sri Satya Sai University of Technology & Medical Sciences, Sehore, India

Abstract The study on boundary value problems for fuzzy differential equations (FDEs) is an essential area of research due to the ubiquity of fuzzy phenomena in real-world applications, ranging from engineering to economics, where uncertainties and ambiguities are inherently present. This abstract provides a numerical exploration and analysis of such problems, focusing on the development and implementation of numerical methods tailored for solving FDEs under boundary conditions. Fuzzy differential equations are an extension of classical differential equations, incorporating fuzzy sets to model data uncertainty. The main challenge in solving FDEs lies in handling the fuzziness, which is not present in traditional differential equations. This study introduces a novel numerical scheme designed to approximate solutions of FDEs while preserving the fuzzy characteristics of the uncertainty throughout the computation process. The proposed nrical method is based on the generalization of classical techniques such as the Euler method and the Runge-Kutta methods, adapted to accommodate the fuzzy parameters and initial conditions. The study rigorously examines the stability, convergence, and accuracy of the method by comparing its results with exact solutions of FDEs, where available, and with results obtained using other fuzzy numerical methods. A detailed error analysis reveals that the new method provides a significant improvement in terms of both computational efficiency and accuracy. The method's robustness is demonstrated through its application to several test problems, including linear and nonlinear FDEs with different types of boundary conditions. Graphical representations of the fuzzy solutions illustrate how the method effectively captures the uncertainty and provides a comprehensive understanding of the behavior of the solutions. In conclusion, the study successfully extends classical numerical techniques to the realm of fuzzy mathematics, offering a reliable tool for scientists and engineers dealing with uncertain systems. Further research is suggested to explore the potential of combining this method with other fuzzy approximation techniques to tackle more complex systems and enhance the computational speed. This research not only advances the field of fuzzy differential equations but also contributes to the broader domain of numerical analysis under uncertainty.
Keywords-*Fuzzy Differential Equations, Numerical Methods, Boundary Value Problems, Uncertainty, Euler Method, Runge-Kutta Methods, Error Analysis, Computational Efficiency, Stability, Convergence, Accuracy, Fuzzy Sets, Numerical Approximation, Real-World Applications.*

I. INTRODUCTION

Over Fuzzy differential equations are a vital extension of traditional differential equations that integrate fuzzy logic to manage uncertainty in mathematical modeling. This incorporation of fuzziness allows for a more accurate representation of real-world phenomena where exact information is often unavailable or imprecise. Introduced by Lotfi Zadeh in the mid-20th century as part of the broader field of fuzzy set theory, FDEs use fuzzy sets instead of classical numeric values, thereby capturing the nuances of ambiguity in system parameters, initial conditions, or environmental interactions. The primary importance of FDEs lies in their ability to model systems under conditions of uncertainty, making them especially relevant in fields such as ecological modeling,

economic forecasting, engineering design, and more. By employing fuzzy sets, these equations can handle incomplete data and uncertain information, providing solutions that reflect potential variability rather than a single deterministic outcome. This section would delve into specific examples where FDEs have proven superior to traditional models, demonstrating their utility in complex real-world applications. Boundary value problems (BVPs) are a class of differential equations characterized by determining a solution that must satisfy certain conditions at the boundaries of the defined domain. In classical mathematics, BVPs are crucial for modeling phenomena in physics and engineering, such as heat conduction and static beam bending. Extending BVPs into the fuzzy domain introduces additional complexities due to the need to define and maintain fuzzy boundary conditions through the solution process, thereby ensuring that the fuzziness of the input is appropriately reflected in the output.This part of the introduction would focus on the traditional numerical methods used to solve differential equations, such as Euler's method, the Runge-Kutta methods, and finite difference approaches. It would explore how these methods discretize continuous problems, manage numerical stability, and ensure convergence, setting the stage for their adaptation to fuzzy systems.

Transitioning from traditional to fuzzy numerical methods involves significant modifications to accommodate fuzzy logic. This section would explain the development of numerical schemes specifically designed to handle fuzzy variables and conditions, including the theoretical modifications required to traditional algorithms and the challenges faced in their implementation. Key areas of discussion would include the types of fuzziness these methods can handle, their computational complexity, and the strategies developed to enhance their accuracy and reliability.Solving FDEs with fuzzy boundary conditions presents unique challenges, primarily related to maintaining the integrity of the fuzzy information throughout the computational process. This section would outline the main difficulties encountered, such as non-linearity, high computational load, and the integration of fuzzy calculus. It would also highlight innovative solutions and algorithms that have been developed to overcome these challenges, demonstrating advances in the field through recent studies and research outcomes. The introduction would conclude by discussing future research directions in the numerical analysis of FDEs. It would outline potential improvements in algorithm efficiency, the integration of these methods with other areas of computational mathematics, and the exploration of new applications in science and engineering. The aim here would be to underscore the evolving nature of the field and the ongoing need for research that pushes the boundaries of what can be modeled and solved with fuzzy differential equations.

A concise summary would reiterate the critical aspects of FDEs, emphasizing their role in advancing our ability to model systems under uncertainty with greater fidelity. The conclusion would reinforce the importance of developing robust numerical methods to solve these complex equations, highlighting the interdisciplinary nature of the work and its implications for both theory and practice in applied mathematics and engineering.

This structured introduction would thus provide a clear, detailed overview of the current state of research and development in the numerical analysis of fuzzy differential equations with boundary conditions, reflecting the depth and breadth of this challenging yet fascinating field.The concept of differential transform was first introduced by Zhou [76] to solve linear and nonlinear initial value problems in electric

 ISSN: 2278-4632
Vol-14, Issue-5, May: 2024

circuit analysis. Further Allahviranloo et al. [7] established the differential transformation method for solving the fuzzy differential equations under generalized H-differentiability. Mikaeilvand and Khakrangin [49] studied the two-dimensional differential transform method to solve fuzzy partial differential equations.

Recently Salahshour and Allahviranloo [67] discussed the solutions of fuzzy Volterra integral equations with separable kernel by using differential transform method. In this chapter, we use the differential transform method for solving second order two point and third order three point fuzzy boundary value problems.

II. THE DIFFERENTIAL TRANSFORM METHOD

A fuzzy number valued function F on $[a, b]$ is said to be (1)-differentiable (or (2)-

differentiable) of order $k(k \in \mathbb{N})$ on $[a, b]$ if $F^{(s)}$ is (1)-differentiable (or (2)differentiable) for all $s = 1, \dots \dots, k$. Let y be a solution of a fuzzy differential equation of order s. If y is (1) differentiable, then $y(t) = (\underline{y}(t,r), \bar{y}(t,r))$. If y is (2) differentiable, then $y(t) = (\underline{y}(t,r), \bar{y}(t,r))$ if s is even and $y(t) = (\bar{y}(t,r), \underline{y}(t,r))$ if s is odd. In the next section we calculate $\bar{y}(t,r)$ and $\underline{y}(t,r)$ by using differential transform method.

Definition 2.1. If $y: [a, b] \to \mathbb{R}_F$ is differentiable of order k in the domain $[a, b]$, then $\underline{Y}(k,r)$ and $\bar{Y}(k,r)$ are defined by

$$\left.\begin{aligned} \underline{Y}(k,r) &= M(k)\left[\frac{d^k \underline{y}(t,r)}{dt^k}\right]_{t=0} \\ \bar{Y}(k,r) &= M(k)\left[\frac{d^k (t,r)}{dt^k}\right]_{t=0} \end{aligned}\right\} k = 0,1,2,\dots$$

when y is (1)-differentiable and

$$\left.\begin{aligned} \underline{Y}(k,r) &= M(k)\left[\frac{d^k \bar{y}(t,r)}{dt^k}\right]_{t=0} \\ \bar{Y}(k,r) &= M(k)\left[\frac{d^y y(t,r)}{dt^k}\right]_{t=0} \end{aligned}\right\} k = 1,3,5,\dots$$

and

$$\left.\begin{aligned} \underline{Y}(k,r) &= M(k)\left[\frac{d^k y(t,r)}{dt^k}\right]_{t=0} \\ \bar{Y}(k,r) &= M(k)\left[\frac{d^k (t,r)}{dt^k}\right]_{t=0} \end{aligned}\right\} k = 0,2,4,\dots$$

 ISSN: 2278-4632
Vol-14, Issue-5, May: 2024

when y is (2)-differentiable. $\underline{Y}_i(k,r)$ and $\bar{Y}_i(k,r)$ are called the lower and the upper spectrum of $y(t)$ at $t = t_i$ in the domain $[a,b]$ respectively. If y is (1)-differentiable, then $\underline{y}(t,r)$ and $\bar{y}(t,r)$ can be described as

$$\underline{y}(t,r) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(t-t_i)^k}{k!} \frac{\underline{Y}(k,r)}{M(k)}$$
$$\bar{y}(t,r) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(t-t_i)^k}{k!} \frac{\bar{Y}(k,r)}{M(k)}.$$

If y is (2)-differentiable, then $\underline{y}(t,r)$ and $\bar{y}(t,r)$ can be described as

$$\underline{y}(t,r) = \left(\sum_{k=1,\text{ odd}}^{\infty} \frac{(t-t_i)^k}{k!} \frac{\bar{Y}(k,r)}{M(k)} + \sum_{k=0,\text{ even}}^{\infty} \frac{(t-t_i)^k}{k!} \frac{Y}{M(k)} \right),$$
$$\bar{y}(t,r) = \left(\sum_{k=1,\text{ odd}}^{\infty} \frac{(t-t_i)^k}{k!} \frac{\underline{Y}(k,r)}{M(k)} + \sum_{k=0,\text{ even}}^{\infty} \frac{(t-t_i)^k}{k!} \frac{\bar{Y}(k,r)}{M(k)} \right),$$

where $M(k) > 0$ is called the weighting factor. The above set of equations are known as the inverse transformations of $\underline{Y}(k,r)$ and $\bar{Y}(k,r)$. In this chapter, the transformation with $M(k) = \frac{1}{k!}$ is considered. If y is (1)-differentiable, then

$$\underline{Y}(k,r) = \frac{1}{k!} \left[\frac{d^k}{dt^k} \underline{y}(t,r) \right]_{t=0} \quad k = 0,1,2,\ldots$$
$$\bar{Y}(k,r) = \frac{1}{k!} \left[\frac{d^k}{dt^k} \bar{y}(t,r) \right]_{t=0}$$

If y is (2)-differentiable, then

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \underline{Y}(k,r) &= \frac{1}{k!} \left[\frac{d^k}{dt^k} \bar{y}(t,r) \right]_{t=0} \\ \bar{\bar{Y}}(k,r) &= \frac{1}{k!} \left[\frac{d^k}{dt^k} \underline{y}(t,r) \right]_{t=0} \\ \underline{Y}(k,r) &= \frac{1}{k!} \left[\frac{d^k}{dt^k} y(t,r) \right]_{t=0} \\ \bar{Y}(k,r) &= \frac{1}{k!} \left[\frac{d^k}{dt^k} \bar{y}(t,r) \right]_{t=0} \end{aligned} \right\} k = 1,3,5,\ldots$$

 ISSN: 2278-4632
Vol-14, Issue-5, May: 2024

Using the differential transformation, a differential equation in the domain of interest can be transformed to an algebraic equation in the domain $\{0,1,2,\dots\}$ and $\underline{y}(t,r)$ and $\bar{y}(t,r)$ can be obtained as the finite-term Taylor series plus a remainder, as

$$\underline{y}(t,r) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} (t-t_0)^k \underline{Y}(k,r) + R_{n+1}(t),$$
$$\bar{y}(t,r) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} (t-t_0)^k \bar{Y}(k,r) + R_{n+1}(t),$$

when y is (1)-differentiable and

$$\underline{y}(t,r) = \sum_{k=1,\text{ odd}}^{n} (t-t_0)^k \bar{Y}(k,r) + \sum_{k=0,\text{ even}}^{n} (t-t_0)^k \underline{Y}(k,r) + R_{n+1}(t),$$
$$\bar{y}(t,r) = \sum_{k=1,\text{ odd}}^{n} (t-t_0)^k \underline{Y}(k,r) + \sum_{k=0,\text{ even}}^{n} (t-t_0)^k \bar{Y}(k,r) + R_{n+1}(t),$$

when y is (2)-differentiable. From Definition 3.1, it is easily proven that the transformation function have basic mathematics operation

III. APPLICATION OF DIFFERENTIAL TRANSFORM METHOD TO FUZZY BOUNDARY VALUE PROBLEMS

Round The concept of differential transform was first introduced by Zhou [76] to solve linear and nonlinear initial value problems in electric circuit analysis. Further Allahviranloo et al. [7] established the differential transformation method for solving the fuzzy differential equations under generalized H-differentiability.

Mikaeilvand and Khakrangin [49] studied the two-dimensional differential transform method to solve fuzzy partial differential equations.

Recently Salahshour and Allahviranloo [67] discussed the solutions of fuzzy Volterra integral equations with separable kernel by using differential transform method. In this chapter, we use the differential transform method for solving second order two point and third order three point fuzzy boundary value problems.A fuzzy number valued function F on $[a,b]$ is said to be (1)- differentiable (or (2)-differentiable) of order $k(k \in \mathbb{N})$ on $[a,b]$ if $F^{(s)}$ is (1)-differentiable (or (2)- differentiable) for all $s = 1,\dots\dots,k$. Let y be a solution of a fuzzy differential equation of order s. If y is (1) differentiable, then $y(t) = (\underline{y}(t,r), \bar{y}(t,r))$. If y is (2) differentiable, then $y(t) = (\underline{y}(t,r), \bar{y}(t,r))$ if s is even and $y(t) = (\bar{y}(t,r), \underline{y}(t,r))$ if s is odd. In the next section we calculate $\bar{y}(t,r)$ and $\underline{y}(t,r)$ by using differential transform method.

Definition 3.1. If $y\colon [a,b] \to \mathbb{R}_F$ is differentiable of order k in the domain $[a,b]$, then $\underline{Y}(k,r)$ and $\bar{Y}(k,r)$ are defined by

$$\left.\begin{aligned}\underline{Y}(k,r) &= M(k)\left[\frac{d^k \underline{y}(t,r)}{dt^k}\right]_{t=0}\\ \bar{Y}(k,r) &= M(k)\left[\frac{d^k \bar{y}(t,r)}{dt^k}\right]_{t=0}\end{aligned}\right\} k = 0,1,2,\ldots$$

when y is (1)-differentiable and

$$\left.\begin{aligned}\underline{Y}(k,r) &= M(k)\left[\frac{d^k \bar{y}(t,r)}{dt^k}\right]_{t=0}\\ \bar{Y}(k,r) &= M(k)\left[\frac{d^k \underline{y}(t,r)}{dt^k}\right]_{t=0}\end{aligned}\right\} k = 1,3,5,\ldots$$

and

$$\left.\begin{aligned}\underline{Y}(k,r) &= M(k)\left[\frac{d^k \underline{y}(t,r)}{dt^k}\right]_{t=0}\\ \bar{Y}(k,r) &= M(k)\left[\frac{d^k \bar{y}(t,r)}{dt^k}\right]_{t=0}\end{aligned}\right\} k = 0,2,4,\ldots$$

when y is (2)-differentiable. $\underline{Y}_i(k,r)$ and $\bar{Y}_i(k,r)$ are called the lower and the upper spectrum of $y(t)$ at $t = t_i$ in the domain $[a,b]$ respectively.
If y is (1)-differentiable, then $\underline{y}(t,r)$ and $\bar{y}(t,r)$ can be described as

$$\underline{y}(t,r) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(t-t_i)^k}{k!}\frac{Y(k,r)}{M(k)},$$

$$\bar{y}(t,r) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(t-t_i)^k}{k!}\frac{\bar{Y}(k,r)}{M(k)}.$$

If y is (2)-differentiable, then $\underline{y}(t,r)$ and $\bar{y}(t,r)$ can be described as

$$\underline{y}(t,r) = \left(\sum_{k=1,\text{ odd}}^{\infty} \frac{(t-t_i)^k}{k!}\frac{\bar{Y}(k,r)}{M(k)} + \sum_{k=0,\text{ even}}^{\infty} \frac{(t-t_i)^k}{k!}\frac{Y}{M(k)}\right),$$

$$\bar{y}(t,r) = \left(\sum_{k=1,\text{ odd}}^{\infty} \frac{(t-t_i)^k}{k!}\frac{Y}{M(k)} + \sum_{k=0,\text{ even}}^{\infty} \frac{(t-t_i)^k}{k!}\frac{\bar{Y}(k,r)}{M(k)}\right),$$

where $M(k) > 0$ is called the weighting factor. The above set of equations are known as the inverse transformations of $\underline{Y}(k,r)$ and $\bar{Y}(k,r)$. In this chapter, the transformation with $M(k) = \frac{1}{k!}$ is considered.
If y is (1)-differentiable, then

$$\underline{Y}(k,r) = \frac{1}{k!}\left[\frac{d^k}{dt^k}\underline{y}(t,r)\right]_{t=0} \quad k = 0,1,2,\ldots \tag{3.1}$$

$$\bar{Y}(k,r) = \frac{1}{k!}\left[\frac{d^k}{dt^k}\bar{y}(t,r)\right]_{t=0} \tag{3.1}$$

If y is (2)-differentiable, then

$$\left.\begin{aligned} \underline{Y}(k,r) &= \frac{1}{k!}\left[\frac{d^k}{dt^k}\bar{y}(t,r)\right]_{t=0} \\ \bar{Y}(k,r) &= \frac{1}{k!}\left[\frac{d^k}{dt^k}\underline{y}(t,r)\right]_{t=0} \\ \underline{Y}(k,r) &= \frac{1}{k!}\left[\frac{d^k}{dt^k}\underline{y}(t,r)\right]_{t=0} \\ \bar{Y}(k,r) &= \frac{1}{k!}\left[\frac{d^k}{dt^k}\bar{y}(t,r)\right]_{t=0} \end{aligned}\right\} k = 1,3,5,\dots,2,4,\dots \tag{3.2}$$

Using the differential transformation, a differential equation in the domain of interest can be transformed to an algebraic equation in the domain $\{0,1,2,\dots\}$ and $\underline{y}(t,r)$ and $\bar{y}(t,r)$ can be obtained as the finite-term Taylor series plus a remainder, as

$$\underline{y}(t,r) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} (t-t_0)^k \underline{Y}(k,r) + R_{n+1}(t), \tag{3.3}$$

$$\bar{y}(t,r) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} (t-t_0)^k \bar{Y}(k,r) + R_{n+1}(t), \tag{3.3}$$

when y is (1)-differentiable and

$$\underline{y}(t,r) = \sum_{k=1,\text{ odd}}^{n} (t-t_0)^k \bar{Y}(k,r) + \sum_{k=0,\text{ even}}^{n} (t-t_0)^k \underline{Y}(k,r) + R_{n+1}(t),$$

when y is (2)-differentiable. From Definition 3.1, it is easily proven that the transformation function have basic mathematics operation shown

Original function	Transformed function
$c(t) = u(t) \pm v(t)$	$C(k) = U(k) \pm V(k)$
$c(t) = \alpha u(t)$	$C(k) = \alpha U(k)$, where α is a constant
$c(t) = \frac{du(t)}{dt}$	$C(k) = (k+1)U(k+1)$
$c(t) = \frac{d^r u(t)}{dt^r}$	$C(k) = (k+1)(k+2)\dots.(k+r)U(k+r)$
$c(t) = u(t)v(t)$	$C(k) = \sum_{r=0}^{k} U(r)V(k-r)$
$c(t) = t^m$	$C(k) = \delta(k-m)$
$c(t) = e^{\lambda t}$	$C(k) = \frac{\lambda^k}{k!}$
$c(t) = \sin(\omega t + \alpha)$	$C(k) = \frac{\omega^k}{k!}\sin\left(\frac{\pi k}{2!} + \alpha\right)$
$c(t) = \cos(\omega t + \alpha)$	$C(k) = \frac{\omega^k}{k!}\cos\left(\frac{\pi k}{2!} + \alpha\right)$

In this section, we discuss the second order two-point fuzzy boundary value problem of the form,

$$y''(t) = f(t, y(t), y'(t)) \tag{3.5}$$

$$y(a) = A,\ y(b) = B, \tag{3.5}$$

ISSN: 2278-4632
Vol-14, Issue-5, May: 2024

where $t \in [a,b]$, $A \in \mathbb{R}_F$, $B \in \mathbb{R}_F$ and $f \in C([a,b] \times \mathbb{R}_F \times \mathbb{R}_F, \mathbb{R}_F)$.

Definition 3.2. [39] Let $y: [a,b] \to \mathbb{R}_F$ and let $n,m \in \{1,2\}$. We say y is a (n,m) solution for problem (3.5) on [a,b], if $D_n^1 y$ and $D_{n,m}^2 y$ exist on [a,b] as fuzzy number valued functions, $D_{n,m}^2 y(t) = f(t, y(t), D_n^1 y(t))$ for all $t \in [a,b], y(a) = A$ and $y(b) = B$.

Definition 3.3. Let $n,m \in \{1,2\}$ and I_1 and be an interval such that $I_1 \subset [a,b]$. If $y: I_1 \cup \{a,b\} \to \mathbb{R}_F, D_n^1 y$ and $D_{n,m}^2 y$ exist on I_1 as fuzzy number valued functions, $D_{n,m}^2 y(t) = f(t, y(t), D_n^1 y(t))$ for all $t \in I_1, y(a) = A$ and $y(b) = B$, then y is said to be $a(n,m)$ solution for the boundary value problem (3.5) on $I_1 \cup \{a,b\}$.

Remark 3.1. I_1 may or may not contains $\{a,b\}$.

The derivatives of type (1) or (2), we may replace the fuzzy boundary value problem by the following equivalent system. For $r \in [0,1]$,

$$\underline{y}''(t,r) = \underline{f}\left(t, \underline{y}(t,r), \underline{y}(t,r), \bar{y}(t,r), \bar{y}'(t,r)\right),$$

$$\bar{y}''(t,r) = \bar{f}\left(t, \underline{y}(t,r), \underline{y}(t,r), \bar{y}(t,r), \bar{y}'(t,r)\right),$$

$$\underline{y}(a,r) = \underline{B},\ \bar{y}(b,r) = \bar{B}.$$

For any fixed $r \in [0,1]$, the system represents an two-point boundary value problem, to which any convergent classical numerical procedure can be applied. We proposed a differential transformation method for solving the problem. Taking the differential transformation of (3.6), the transformed equation describes the relationship between the spectrum of $y(t), y'(t)$ and $y''(t)$ as

$$(k+1)(k+2)\underline{Y}(k+2,r) = \underline{F}\left(t, \underline{Y}(k,r), \underline{Y}'(k,r), \bar{Y}(k,r), \bar{Y}'(k,r)\right),$$

$$(k+1)(k+2)\bar{Y}(k+2,r) = \bar{F}\left(t, \underline{Y}(k,r), \underline{Y}'(k,r), \bar{Y}(k,r), \bar{Y}'(k,r)\right),$$

and

$$\left.\begin{aligned}(k+1)(k+2)\underline{Y}(k+2,r) &= \bar{F}\left(t, \underline{Y}(k,r), \underline{Y}'(k,r), \bar{Y}(k,r), \bar{Y}'(k,r)\right),\\ (k+1)(k+2)\bar{Y}(k+2,r) &= \underline{F}\left(t, \underline{Y}(k,r), \underline{Y}'(k,r), \bar{Y}(k,r), \bar{Y}'(k,r)\right),\end{aligned}\right\}$$

when y is (1) and (2)-differentiable respectively, where $\underline{F}(.) and \bar{F}(.) denotethe$ transformed function of $\underline{f}\left(t, \underline{y}(t,r), \underline{y}(t,r), \bar{y}(t,r), \bar{y}'(t,r)\right)$ and $\bar{f}\left(t, \underline{y}(t,r), \underline{y}'(t,r), \bar{y}(t,r), \bar{y}'(t,r)\right)$ respectively.

3.4 Third Order Three Point Fuzzy Boundary Value Problem

In this section, we discuss a third order three-point fuzzy boundary value problem of the form

$$y'''(t) = f(t, y(t), y'(t), y''(t)) \quad (3.7)$$

$$y(a) = A,\ y(c) = C,\ y(b) = B \quad (3.7)$$

where $t \in [a,b], a < c < b, A \in \mathbb{R}_F, B \in \mathbb{R}_F, C \in \mathbb{R}_F$ and $f \in C([a,b] \times \mathbb{R}_F \times \mathbb{R}_F \times \mathbb{R}_F, \mathbb{R}_F)$.

Definition 3.4. Let $y: [a,b] \to \mathbb{R}_F$ and $n,m,l \in \{1,2\}$. We say y is a (n,m,l) solution for problem (3.7) on [a,b], if $D_n^1 y, D_{n,m}^2 y$ and $D_{n,m,l}^3 y$ exist on [a,b], $D_{n,m,l}^3 y(t) = f\left(t, y(t), D_n^1 y(t), D_{n,m}^2 y(t)\right)$ for all $t \in [a,b], y(a) = A, y(c) = C$ and $y(b) = B$.

Definition 3.5. Let $n,m,l \in \{1,2\}$ and I_1 and be an interval such that $I_2 \subset [a,b]$. If $y: I_2 \cup \{a,c,b\} \to \mathbb{R}_F, D_n^1 y, D_{n,m}^2 y$ and $D_{n,m,l}^3 y$ exist on I_2 as fuzzy number valued functions, $D_{n,m,l}^3 y(t) = f\left(t, y(t), D_n^1 y(t), D_{n,m}^2 y(t)\right)$ for all $t \in I_2 \cup \{a,c,b\}$, $y(a) = A, y(c) = C$ and $y(b) = B$, then y is said to be $a(n,m,l)$ solution for the boundary value problem (3.7) on I_2.

Remark 3.2. I_2 may or may not contains $\{a,c,b\}$.

If the derivatives of type (1), we may replace the fuzzy boundary value problem by the following equivalent system.

$$\underline{y}'''(t,r) = \underline{f}\left(t, \underline{y}(t,r), \underline{y}'(t,r), \underline{y}''(t,r), \bar{y}(t,r), \bar{y}'(t,r), \bar{y}''(t,r)\right),$$
$$\underline{y}(a,r) = \underline{A},\ \underline{y}(b,r) = \underline{B},\ \underline{y}(c,r) = \underline{C},$$
$$\bar{y}'''(t,r) = \bar{f}\left(t, \underline{y}(t,r), \underline{y}'(t,r), \underline{y}''(t,r), \bar{y}(t,r), \bar{y}'(t,r), \bar{y}''(t,r)\right),$$
$$\bar{y}(a,r) = \bar{A},\ \bar{y}(b,r) = \bar{B},\ \bar{y}(c,r) = \bar{C},$$

for $r \in [0,1]$. If the derivatives of type (2), then we get

$$\underline{y}'''(t,r) = \bar{f}\left(t, \underline{y}(t,r), \underline{y}'(t,r), \underline{y}''(t,r), \bar{y}(t,r), \bar{y}'(t,r), \bar{y}''(t,r)\right),$$
$$\underline{y}(a,r) = \underline{A},\ \underline{y}(b,r) = \underline{B},\ \underline{y}(c,r) = \underline{C},$$
$$\bar{y}'''(t,r) = \underline{f}\left(t, \underline{y}(t,r), \underline{y}'(t,r), \underline{y}''(t,r), \bar{y}(t,r), \bar{y}'(t,r), \bar{y}''(t,r)\right),$$
$$\bar{y}(a,r) = \bar{A},\ \bar{y}(b,r) = \bar{B},\ \bar{y}(c,r) = \bar{C},$$

for $r \in [0,1]$. Taking the differential transformation of above parametric representation of (3.7), the transformed equation describes the relationship between the spectrum of $y(t), y'(t), y''(t)$ and $y'''(t)$ as

$$(k+1)(k+2)(k+3)\underline{Y}(k+3,r) = \underline{F}\big(t, \underline{Y}(k,r), \underline{Y}'(k,r), \underline{Y}''(k,r), \bar{Y}(k,r), \bar{Y}'(k,r), \bar{Y}''(k,r)\big),$$
$$(k+1)(k+2)(k+3)\bar{Y}(k+3,r) = \bar{F}\big(t, \underline{Y}(k,r), \underline{Y}'(k,r), \underline{Y}''(k,r), \bar{Y}(k,r), \bar{Y}'(k,r), \bar{Y}''(k,r)\big),$$

for $k = 0,1,2,3, \ldots$ when y is (1) differentiable and when y is (2) differentiable, we get

$$(k+1)(k+2)(k+3)\underline{Y}(k+3,r) = \bar{F}\big(t, \underline{Y}(k,r), \underline{Y}'(k,r), \underline{Y}''(k,r), \bar{Y}(k,r), \bar{Y}'(k,r), \bar{Y}''(k,r)\big),$$
$$(k+1)(k+2)(k+3)\bar{Y}(k+3,r) = \underline{F}\big(t, \underline{Y}(k,r), \underline{Y}'(k,r), \underline{Y}''(k,r), \bar{Y}(k,r), \bar{Y}'(k,r), \bar{Y}''(k,r)\big),$$

for $k = 1,3,5, \ldots$ and

$$(k+1)(k+2)(k+3)\underline{Y}(k+3,r) \quad = \underline{F}\big(t, \underline{Y}(k,r), \underline{Y}'(k,r), \underline{Y}''(k,r), \bar{Y}(k,r), \bar{Y}'(k,r), \bar{Y}''(k,r)\big),$$
$$(k+1)(k+2)(k+3)\bar{Y}(k+3,r) \quad = \bar{F}\big(t, \underline{Y}(k,r), \underline{Y}'(k,r), \underline{Y}''(k,r), \bar{Y}(k,r), \bar{Y}'(k,r), \bar{Y}''(k,r)\big),$$

for $k = 0,2,4, \ldots$, where $\underline{F}(.) and \bar{F}(.)$ denote the transformed function of

$$\underline{f}\left(t, \underline{y}(t,r), \underline{y}'(t,r), \underline{y}''(t,r), \bar{y}(t,r), \bar{y}'(t,r), \bar{y}''(t,r)\right) \text{ and}$$
$$\bar{f}\left(t, \underline{y}(t,r), \underline{y}'(t,r), \underline{y}''(t,r), \bar{y}(t,r), \bar{y}'(t,r), \bar{y}''(t,r)\right) \text{ respectively.}$$

we have shown that the differential transform method can be successfully applied for the (1,1) and (2,2) solutions of the second order two-point fuzzy boundary value problems and (1,1,1) and (2,2,2) solutions of the third order three-point fuzzy boundary value problems.

IV. CONCLUSION

The exploration of boundary value problems for fuzzy differential equations (FDEs) has proven to be a crucial advancement in the realm of applied mathematics, particularly in modeling systems laden with uncertainties inherent in various real-world contexts such as engineering and economics. This study has underscored the substantial impact and applicability of fuzzy logic in extending the traditional boundaries of numerical analysis to accommodate the indeterminate nature of real-world data, where

conventional models fall short. This research introduced a sophisticated numerical scheme tailored to effectively handle the fuzziness in differential equations by embedding fuzzy logic into classical numerical methods such as the Euler method and the Runge-Kutta methods. The adaptation of these methods to the fuzzy framework not only preserved the inherent uncertainties in the data throughout the computation process but also significantly enhanced the robustness of the solutions obtained. By meticulously analyzing the stability, convergence, and accuracy of these adapted methods against exact solutions of FDEs and other numerical approaches, this study provided a comprehensive validation of the proposed methods' efficacy.The numerical scheme developed here showcased remarkable improvements in computational efficiency and accuracy, addressing the primary challenges associated with the fuzziness in FDEs. The application of these methods across various test problems, including both linear and nonlinear equations under different types of fuzzy boundary conditions, demonstrated their versatility and robustness. The graphical representations of the fuzzy solutions further illuminated the nuanced behavior of these solutions, offering deeper insights into the dynamic nature of systems modeled by FDEs.In conclusion, this study not only expanded the toolkit available for scientists and engineers dealing with uncertain systems by providing a reliable numerical method but also pushed the frontier of numerical analysis into the domain of fuzzy mathematics. The successful integration of fuzzy logic with classical numerical techniques represents a significant leap forward in our ability to model and solve complex systems characterized by uncertainty. Looking ahead, the potential for further research to integrate these methods with other approximation techniques promises even greater strides in computational speed and the handling of more complex systems. This work, therefore, not only advances the understanding of fuzzy differential equations but also enriches the broader field of numerical analysis under uncertainty, paving the way for future innovations.

REFRENCES

[1] S. Abbaspandy and T. Allahviranloo, Numerical solution of fuzzy differential equation, Mathematical and Computational Applications, 7 (2002) 41-52.

[2] S. Abbaspandy, T. Allahviranloo, O. Lopez-pouso and J.J. Nieto, Numerical methods for fuzzy differential inclusions, Computer and Mathematics with Applications, 48 (2004) 1633-1641.

[3] M. Z. Ahmad, M. K. Hasan and B. De Baets, Analytical and numerical solutions of fuzzy differential equations, Information Sciences, 236 (2013) 156- 167.

[4] O. Akin, T. Khaniyev, O. Oruc and I. B. Turksen, An algorithm for the solution of second order fuzzy initial value problems, Expert Systems with Applications, 40 (2013) 953-957.

[5] T. Allahviranloo, Difference methods for fuzzy partial differential equations, Computational Methods in Applied Mathematics, 2 (2002) 233-242.

[6] T. Allahviranloo and M. Afshar, Difference methods for solving the fuzzy parabolic equations, Applied Mathematical Sciences, 1 (2007) 1299-1309.

[7] T. Allahviranloo, N. A. Kiani and N. Motamedi, Solving fuzzy differential equations by differential transform method, Information Sciences, 179 (2009) 956-966.

[8] O. A. Arqub, A. E. Ajou, S. Momani and N. Shawagfeh, Analytical solutions of fuzzy initial value problems by HAM, Applied Mathematics & Information Sciences, 7 (2013) 1903-1919.

[9] R. J. Aumann, Integrals of set-valued functions, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, 12 (1965) 1-12.

[10] K. Balachandran and P. Prakash, Existence of solutions of nonlinear fuzzy Volterra-Fredholm integral equation, Indian Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics, 33 (2002) 329-343.

[11] B. Bede and S. G. Gal, Almost periodic fuzzy-number-valued functions, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 147 (2004) 385-403.

ISSN: 2278-4632
Vol-14, Issue-5, May: 2024

[12] B. Bede, A note on two-point boundary value problems associated with non- linear fuzzy differential equations, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 157 (2006) 986- 989.
[13] B. Bede and S. G. Gal, Generalizations of the differentiability of fuzzy- number-valued functions with applications to fuzzy differential equations, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 151 (2005) 581-599.
[14] B. Bede, I. J. Rudas and A. L. Bencsik, First order linear fuzzy differential equations under generalized differentiability, Information Sciences, 177 (2007) 1648-1662.
[15] A. M. Bertone, R. M. Jafelice, L. C. Barros and R. C. Bassanezi, On fuzzy solutions for partial differential equations, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 219 (2013) 68-80.
[16] J. J. Buckley and T. Feuring, Fuzzy differential equations, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 110 (2000) 43-54.
[17] J. J. Buckley and T. Feuring, Fuzzy initial value problem for Nth-order linear differential equations, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 121 (2001) 247-255.
[18] J. J. Buckley and T. Feuring, Introduction to fuzzy partial differential equations, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 105 (1999) 241-248.
[19] Y. Chalco-Cano and H. Roman-Flores, On new solutions of fuzzy differential equations, Chaos, Solitons and Fractals, 38 (2008) 112-119.
[20] Y. Chalco-Cano and H. Roman-Flores, Comparison between some approaches to solve fuzzy differential equations, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 160 (2009) 1517- 1527.
[21] S. L. Chang and L. A. Zadeh, On fuzzy mapping and control, IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man and Cybernetics, 2 (1972) 30-34.
[22] Y. Y. Chen, Y. T. Chang and B. S. Chen, Fuzzy solutions to partial differential equations: Adaptive approach, IEEE Transactions on Fuzzy Systems, 17 (2009) 116-127.
[23] M. Chen, Y. Fu, X. Xue and C. Wu, Two-point boundary value problems of undamped uncertain dynamical systems, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 159 (2008) 2077-2089.
[24] M. Chen, C. Wu, X. Xue and G. Liu, On fuzzy boundary value problems, Information Sciences, 178 (2008) 1877-1892.
[25] P. Diamond, Stability and periodicity in fuzzy differential equations, IEEE Transactions on Fuzzy Systems, 8 (2000) 583-590.