

**MATHEMATICAL MODEL FOR MALWARE PROPAGATION IN COMPUTER  
NETWORKS AND ITS DYNAMICS**

<sup>1</sup>**Md Mridul Haque Choudhury**, Research Scholar, Assam Don Bosco University  
<sup>2</sup>**Hemen Bharali**, Associate Professor, Department of Mathematic, Assam Don Bosco University

**ABSTRACT**

*Understanding the complexities of malware propagation in computer networks is pivotal in devising robust cybersecurity strategies. This abstract presents a mathematical model designed to simulate and analyze the dynamics of malware spread within complex network environments.*

*The model incorporates elements from epidemiological, network-based, and agent-based modeling approaches to provide a comprehensive understanding of malware propagation dynamics. Leveraging concepts from disease epidemiology, network structures represented through graph theory, and individual-level behaviors in networks, the model aims to capture the nuances of malware dissemination.*

*A critical review of existing models underscores their respective strengths and limitations, emphasizing the need for an integrated approach. Challenges such as network heterogeneity and evolving malware strategies are identified, paving the way for future research directions.*

*This mathematical model serves as a vital tool in the realm of cybersecurity, offering insights into the intricate nature of malware propagation within computer networks. Its versatility and comprehensive approach contribute significantly to enhancing network security and fortifying defenses against evolving cyber threats.*

**Keywords:** *Malware propagation, Mathematical modeling, Computer networks, Dynamics, Cybersecurity*

## INTRODUCTION

Malware, a formidable threat embedded within the digital landscape, poses significant risks to the security and functionality of computer networks worldwide. This review embarks on an exploration of the mathematical modeling associated with malware propagation within these networks, aiming to comprehensively understand its dynamics and implications.

### **A. Background and Context**

The prevalence and impact of malware within computer networks have reached unprecedented levels, generating severe disruptions and financial ramifications across various sectors. Malware manifests in multifaceted forms, spanning viruses, worms, trojans, and more recently, sophisticated ransomware and zero-day exploits. These malicious entities exploit vulnerabilities; traverse interconnected networks, and compromise data integrity, often causing widespread chaos and financial losses.

The evolution of malware has mirrored technological advancements, evolving from simple nuisances to sophisticated tools capable of targeted attacks and data exfiltration. Its proliferation is not restricted to conventional computing devices but extends to IoT devices, amplifying the potential attack surface and magnifying security risks.

Statistics from industry reports underscore the severity of the issue. The Verizon Data Breach Investigations Report highlights the role of malware in a significant percentage of data breaches, while the McAfee Labs Threats Report illustrates the surge in malware variants and the escalating sophistication of attacks. Such reports underline the urgency for robust cybersecurity measures, including effective modeling techniques to anticipate and counteract evolving threats (Rass et al., 2017; Tankard, 2011).

### **B. Purpose of the Review**

The primary aim of this review is to systematically examine and synthesize existing mathematical models utilized in understanding malware propagation within computer networks. The scope extends to diverse modeling approaches, encompassing epidemiological, network-based, and agent-based models. By critically evaluating these models, the review endeavors to illuminate their strengths, limitations, and potential integration pathways.

This paper serves as a comprehensive resource, delineating the complexities and nuances of malware propagation models. It aims to offer clarity on their efficacy, utility, and areas necessitating improvement or further exploration. Additionally, the review endeavors to delineate practical implications and recommendations for advancing modeling techniques to fortify cybersecurity strategies.

A central objective is to provide a structured understanding of how these models can collectively contribute to mitigating the detrimental effects of malware on computer networks. By exploring their methodologies, assumptions, and empirical evidence, this review aspires to furnish a foundational framework for cybersecurity researchers, practitioners, and policymakers.

### **C. Research Objectives**

The objectives are:

- To evaluate the efficacy of existing mathematical models in depicting malware spread.
- To identify strengths, limitations, and potential improvements in current modeling techniques.
- To offer insights for future advancements in modeling malware propagation.

## **I. EVOLUTION OF MALWARE AND ITS IMPACT ON NETWORKS**

### **A. Historical Overview of Malware**

The chronicle of malware mirrors a relentless technological arms race between security measures and malicious intent. It began with early viruses like the "Creeper" and "Elk Cloner" infecting mainframes and floppies in the 1970s. As connectivity grew, so did malware sophistication (Cole, 2013).

The 1980s witnessed the birth of worms, notably the "Morris Worm" in 1988, exploiting vulnerabilities in Unix systems, marking a pivotal moment in network-based malware. This decade also saw the emergence of stealthy trojans, deceiving users by posing as benign software while perpetrating harmful actions.

The proliferation of the internet in the 1990s accelerated malware propagation. Email-based worms like "Melissa" and "ILOVEYOU" exploited social engineering to propagate rapidly (Wrightson, 2015). This era also introduced spyware, quietly collecting user data, and adware bombarding users with unsolicited advertisements.

As networks expanded and technology advanced, the 2000s ushered in a new era of financially driven malware. Botnets, controlled by remote command centers, conducted large-scale attacks, while phishing schemes aimed at extracting sensitive information became rampant.

The last decade witnessed an alarming rise in sophisticated threats. Ransomware attacks surged, encrypting files and demanding payment for decryption keys. State-sponsored cyber espionage and complex Advanced Persistent Threats (APTs) highlighted the ominous capabilities of modern malware.

## **B. Impact of Malware on Computer Networks**

Malware outbreaks inflict a multifaceted impact on network security and functionality, disrupting the very fabric of digital systems (Friedberg et al., 2015).

- **Network Disruption:** Malware disrupts network operations, causing downtime, and hindering services. Worms and viruses often overload networks, leading to congestion and slowdowns, or in severe cases, complete system crashes.
- **Data Breaches and Loss:** One of the most severe consequences involves data breaches. Malware facilitates unauthorized access, resulting in the theft or compromise of sensitive data. This compromises privacy, leads to identity theft, and exposes individuals and organizations to financial losses.
- **Financial and Operational Damage:** Ransomware attacks, in particular, paralyze operations, halting business continuity until systems are restored or ransom demands are met. The financial toll encompasses not just the ransom but also remediation costs and potential regulatory fines (Marchetti et al., 2016).
- **Exploitation of Security Vulnerabilities:** Malware introduces vulnerabilities that malicious actors can exploit for prolonged access. These backdoors enable further attacks or serve as entry points for future breaches, amplifying the overall security risk.
- **Reputational and Legal Consequences:** The aftermath of a malware attack often includes significant damage to an organization's reputation. Moreover, data breaches can lead to legal ramifications, regulatory fines, and loss of consumer trust.

## II. TAXONOMY OF MATHEMATICAL MODELS FOR MALWARE PROPAGATION

### A. Epidemiological Models

Epidemiological models, traditionally used in public health, have been adapted to study the spread of malware within computer networks (Pastor-Satorras, et al. 2015). Models such as SIR (Susceptible-Infectious-Recovered) and SIS (Susceptible-Infectious-Susceptible) have been repurposed to depict the dynamics of malware propagation (Sun, et al. 2008).

**SIR Model Adaptation:** The SIR model segments the population into susceptible, infectious, and recovered individuals. Applied to malware, susceptible entities represent uninfected devices, infectious signifies infected ones, and recovered mirrors cleaned or patched systems.

**SIS Model Variants:** The SIS model, where infected individuals return to a susceptible state, also finds application in malware spread. Devices infected with malware can potentially be re-infected after remediation or removal of malware.

### B. Network-Based Models

Utilizing graph theory and network dynamics, these models simulate the transmission of malware across network structures (Fang et al., 2014). Graph representations of network topologies, nodes symbolizing devices, and edges denoting connections, help predict how malware traverses through these interconnected systems (Wang& Chen, 2008).

**Graph-Based Simulations:** Models using graph theory assess network vulnerabilities, centrality, and connectivity to predict the likelihood and pathways of malware propagation. They often consider node degrees, centrality measures, and network density to simulate and predict potential infection routes.

**Dynamic Network Models:** These models account for the changing nature of networks, incorporating factors like node mobility, evolving connections, and adaptability of malware. They adapt to dynamic environments to simulate more realistic scenarios of malware spread.

### **C. Agent-Based Models**

Agent-based models focus on individual entities (agents) within a network, simulating their behaviors and interactions (Wang, et al. 2009). In the context of malware, agents represent devices or to security measures (Keshri, 2016).

**Individual-Based Simulation:** These models simulate how malware spreads by considering individual devices' characteristics, such as vulnerability levels, security protocols, and response mechanisms. Agents interact based on rules governing malware transmission and defense strategies.

**Scenario-based Predictions:** Agent-based models facilitate scenario analysis, allowing simulations under various conditions like different network structures, user behaviors, and malware attributes. This flexibility provides insights into the effectiveness of different security measures and strategies.

## **III. CRITICAL REVIEW OF EXISTING MODELS**

Understanding and predicting the dynamics of malware propagation within computer networks demands the utilization of diverse modeling approaches, each presenting unique advantages and limitations. A critical examination of these models provides insights into their efficacy and areas for further enhancement.

Epidemiological Models have been adapted to simulate malware spread by borrowing concepts from disease epidemiology. These models effectively capture the dynamics of infection and recovery rates within a network context. The SIR (Susceptible-Infectious-Recovered) and SIS (Susceptible-Infectious-Susceptible) models are prominent examples. However, their applicability faces challenges in addressing the diverse and evolving strategies of modern malware. While they provide a structured framework for understanding infection dynamics, they often oversimplify the complexities of network structures and fail to account for heterogeneity among nodes and user behaviors (Liu et al., 2016).

Network-Based Models leverage graph theory and network dynamics to simulate the transmission pathways of malware within interconnected systems. These models excel in depicting network topologies and identifying potential infection routes. They offer valuable insights into critical nodes and vulnerabilities within networks. However, challenges arise in scaling these models to larger networks while maintaining accuracy. Additionally, their assumptions about user behavior might oversimplify real-world scenarios, limiting their predictive capabilities (Sharma et al., 2017; Ren, 2018).

Agent-Based Models provide a more granular approach by simulating individual entities (agents) within networks. These models capture diverse behaviors and interactions among individual nodes, offering insights into complex scenarios and adaptive behaviors. They excel in addressing network heterogeneity but are computationally intensive and often challenging to validate due to their complexity (Sood et al., 2013; Yang, 2017).

The synthesis of these models reveals the necessity for a more integrative approach. While each model type contributes valuable insights, their limitations underscore the need for a hybrid or integrated modeling framework. Combining the strengths of these models can mitigate their individual drawbacks and lead to more comprehensive and realistic simulations of malware propagation.

Advancements in modeling techniques can be pursued in several directions. Hybrid models that integrate elements from multiple approaches can offer a more holistic understanding of malware propagation. Efforts to enhance realism by incorporating evolving malware strategies and diverse user behaviors are crucial. Moreover, establishing standardized validation techniques and benchmarks would ensure the accuracy and reliability of these models.

Collaborative research efforts focusing on merging the strengths of various modeling approaches will pave the way for more robust and predictive models. These integrated models will play a pivotal role in advancing cybersecurity strategies, aiding in the development of more effective measures against the ever-evolving landscape of malware threats.

#### **IV. CHALLENGES AND EMERGING TRENDS IN MODELING MALWARE PROPAGATION**

Modeling malware propagation is a complex task fraught with challenges and shaped by dynamic trends. The intricacies of real-world networks and the constantly evolving nature of malware present persistent hurdles in creating accurate predictive models. Traditional approaches often struggle to capture the adaptability of malware strategies and the diverse behaviors inherent in complex networks (Robinson et al., 2012).

In the realm of challenges, the complexity of network structures, ranging from small local networks to large-scale global infrastructures, poses a significant obstacle. Traditional models may oversimplify these structures, limiting their ability to accurately represent the dynamic interactions within diverse

networks. Additionally, the rapid evolution of malware strategies, characterized by adaptive and evasive behaviors, complicates the task of creating static models that can effectively simulate real-world scenarios (vanden,2002).

Emerging trends in the field of malware propagation modeling are aimed at overcoming these challenges. Dynamic network models, designed to adapt to changing network structures and behaviors, offer a promising avenue to improve the realism of simulations. By incorporating machine learning and artificial intelligence techniques, researchers seek to develop adaptive models that can more accurately mimic the evolving strategies of malware and the dynamic nature of network interactions (Karsai, 2012).

In conclusion, the challenges in modeling malware propagation underscore the need for continuous innovation and refinement in modeling approaches. The emerging trends toward dynamic models and the integration of advanced technologies reflect a concerted effort to enhance the accuracy and effectiveness of malware propagation simulations in the ever-evolving landscape of cybersecurity.

## **V. LITERATURE REVIEW**

Z.-H. Zhang et al. (2018) proposed a novel load capacity model against cascade failures considering clustering. The load redistribution strategy is a kind of nearest-neighbor redistribution methods, where the broken nodes allocate loads to their one-leap Neighbours. Moreover, the strength of load redistribution proportion is governed by means of a tunable parameter. The model was simulated and analyzed on artificial and real networks of different type: ER random networks, BS scale-free networks, WS small-world networks, etc. These simulations suggested that networks with large average degree may be robust under the intentional attacks and highly clustered networks with the same degree distribution cannot guarantee the robustness.

Q. Zhu et al. (2018) introduced effective control strategies to control the virus spreading among computers and external devices using an optimal control approach: the external device blocking (that is, prohibiting a fraction of connections between external devices and computers) and computer reconstruction (including updating or reinstalling of some infected computers). Furthermore, this work took into account a state-based cost weight index in the objection functional instead of a fixed one and solved the problem by using Pontryagin's minimum principle and a numerical algorithm, respectively.



C. Zhang and J. Xiao (2018) proposed a novel dynamical model of an advanced persistent distributed denial-of-service attack (APDDoS) to analyze the behavior of an advanced persistent threat attack. It was a compartmental model where the devices are divided into weak-defensive computers (weak-defensive nodes and attacked devices) and strong defensive computers (strong-defensive nodes and compromised nodes). The attacked threshold was derived and the global stability of the equilibrium points was studied.

Y. Yao et al. (2018) proposed a time-delayed worm propagation model considering variable infection rate. It was a compartmental model where susceptible, infectious, quarantined, vaccinated, and delay host were considered. The basic reproductive number was computed and a qualitative study was performed: the existence conditions and the stability of the unique positive equilibrium are derived by means of the threshold of Hopf bifurcation. These results were numerically verified.

C. Zhang (2018) presented a computer virus propagation model on multilayer networks to understand the mechanism of computer virus spreading. It was a compartmental model where susceptible, latent, breaking out computers are considered. The author found out that the propagation threshold was the maximum eigenvalue of the sum of all the sub networks on multilayer networks. The global stability of the virus-free equilibrium was studied and the persistence of the system was proved. These results were confirmed by means of extensive experiments.

P. Li et al. (2018) studied the effectiveness of advanced persistent threat (APT) defensive strategy which is quantified by considering a novel individual-level APT attack-defense model. Specifically, the APT defense problem was modeled as an optimal control problem and the existence of an optimal control was proven. The optimality system for the optimal control problem is derived. The influence of some factors on the effectiveness of an optimal control is analyzed through computer numerical simulations.

## **VI. COMPARATIVE ASSESSMENT AND SYNTHESIS OF INSIGHTS DERIVED FROM THE REVIEWED MODELS**

| <b>Models</b>   | <b>Insights and Findings</b>   | <b>References</b> |
|-----------------|--|-------------------|
| Epidemiological | Effective in portraying infection and recovery dynamics but struggle with network heterogeneity and evolving | (Griffin et al.,  |

| <b>Models</b>        | <b>Insights and Findings</b>  | <b>References</b>   |
|----------------------|---|---------------------|
| Models               | malware behaviors.  | 2006)               |
| Network-Based Models | Excel in depicting network structures and potential infection routes, yet face scalability issues and oversimplify user behaviors.                                  | (Yang, 2015)        |
| Agent-Based Models   | Offer granular insights into individual behaviors and diverse scenarios, addressing heterogeneity but can be computationally intensive and challenging to validate. | (Zhou et al., 2006) |

### **Insights and Findings Overview:**

- **Epidemiological Models:** These models excel in depicting infection dynamics but struggle to account for the complexity of network structures and evolving malware behaviors (Griffin et al., 2006).
- **Network-Based Models:** While effective in representing network structures, they face challenges in scalability and oversimplification of user behaviors, limiting their realism (Yang, 2015).
- **Agent-Based Models:** These models offer detailed insights into individual behaviors and diverse scenarios, addressing network heterogeneity, but their computational intensity and validation pose challenges (Zhou et al., 2006).

This comparative analysis highlights the strengths and limitations of each model type in capturing various aspects of malware propagation within computer networks, emphasizing the need for a comprehensive and integrative approach to model development.

## **VII. CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

### **A. Recapitulation of Key Points**

The review of various models for malware propagation in computer networks has highlighted distinct strengths and limitations:

- **Epidemiological Models:** Effective in portraying infection dynamics but fall short in addressing network heterogeneity and evolving malware behaviors.
- **Network-Based Models:** Excel in depicting network structures and potential infection routes but face challenges in scalability and oversimplification of user behaviors.
- **Agent-Based Models:** Offer granular insights into individual behaviors and scenarios, addressing network heterogeneity but pose challenges in computational intensity and validation.

Key takeaways emphasize the need for integrated models that combine the strengths of different approaches to create comprehensive and realistic simulations of malware propagation.

## **B. Recommendations for Future Research**

To advance modeling techniques in understanding malware propagation, future research should focus on:

- **Integration and Hybrid Models:** Developing integrated models that leverage the strengths of different approaches to create more comprehensive simulations.
- **Enhanced Realism:** Improving models to accurately reflect real-world complexities by incorporating evolving malware strategies and user behaviors more realistically.
- **Validation and Benchmarking:** Establishing standardized validation techniques and benchmarks to ensure model accuracy and reliability.

Moreover, exploration into advanced machine learning algorithms and AI-driven approaches can aid in creating adaptive models capable of mimicking the evolving strategies of malware in dynamic network environments.

By addressing these recommendations, the field can progress toward more accurate and predictive models, crucial in devising effective cybersecurity strategies against the relentless evolution of malware threats.

This comprehensive approach will facilitate a deeper understanding of malware propagation dynamics and better equip cybersecurity professionals to mitigate and combat emerging cyber threats effectively.

## **REFERENCE**

- [1] S. Rass, S. K. onig, and S. Schauer, “Defending against advanced persistent threats using game-theory,” PLoS ONE, vol.12, no.1, Article ID e0168675, 2017.
- [2] C. Tankard, “Advanced Persistent threats and how to monitor and deter them,” Network Security, vol.2011, no.8, pp. 16–19, 2011.
- [3] E. Cole, Advanced Persistent Threat: Understanding the Danger and How to Protect Your Organization, Elsevier, 2013.
- [4] T. Wrightson, Advanced Persistent Threat Hacking: The Art and Science of Hacking Any Organization, McGraw-Hill Education, 2015.
  - I. Friedberg, F. Skopik, G. Settanni, and R. Fiedler, “Combating advanced persistent threats: from network event correlation to incident detection,” Computers & Security, vol.48, pp. 35–57, 2015.
- [5] M. Marchetti, F. Pierazzi, M. Colajanni, and A. Guido, “Analysis of high volumes of network traffic for Advanced Persistent Threat detection,” Computer Networks, vol. 109, pp. 127–141, 2016.
- [6] H. J. Sun, H. Zhao, and J. J. Wu, “A robust matching model of capacity to defense cascading failure on complex networks,” Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and Its Applications, vol.387, no. 25, pp. 6431–6435, 2008.
- [7] Fang, Q. Yang, and W. Yan, “Modeling and analysis of cascading failure in directed complex networks,” Safety Science, vol. 65, pp. 1–9, 2014.
- [8] W. X. Wang and G. Chen, “Universal robustness characteristic of weighted networks against cascading failure,” Physical Review E: Statistical, Nonlinear, and Soft Matter Physics, vol.77, no.2, Article ID 026101, 2008.
- [9] J.-W. Wang and L.-L. Rong, “Cascading failures on complex networks based on the local preferential redistribution rule of the load,” Acta Physica Sinica, vol.58, no.6, pp.3714–3721, 2009.
- [10] N. Keshri, A. Gupta, and B. K. Mishra, “Impact of reduced scale free network on wireless sensor network,” Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and Its Applications, vol.463, pp.236–245, 2016.
- [11] W. Liu, C. Liu, X. Liu, S. Cui, and X. Huang, “Modeling the spread of malware with the influence of heterogeneous immunization,” Applied Mathematical Modelling: Simulation and Computation for Engineering and Environmental Systems, vol.40, no.4, pp.3141–3152, 2016.

- [12] J. Ren and Y. Xu, "A compartmental model to explore the interplay between virus epidemics and honeynet potency," *Applied Mathematical Modelling: Simulation and Computation for Engineering and Environmental Systems*, vol.59, pp.86–99, 2018.
- [13] S.Sharma,A.Mondal,A.K.Pal,andG.P.Samanta,"Stability analysis and optimal control of avian influenza virus A with time delays," *International Journal of Dynamics and Control*, pp. 1–16, 2017.
- A. K. Sood and R. J. Enbody, "Targeted cyberattacks: a superset of advanced persistent threats," *IEEE Security and Privacy*, vol. 11, no. 1, pp. 54–61, 2013.
- [14] L.-X.Yang,P.Li,X.Yang,andY.Y.Tang,"Securityevaluation of the cyber networks under advanced persistent threats," *IEEE Access*, vol.5, pp.20111–20123,2017.
- [15] R. C. Robinson, "An introduction to dynamical systems: continuous and discrete," *American Mathematical Society*, vol. 19, 2012.
- [16] P.vanden DriesscheandJ.Watmough,"Reproductionnumbers andsub-threshold endemic equilibria for compartmental models of disease transmission," *Mathematical Biosciences*, vol.180, no. 1-2, pp. 29–48, 2002.
- [17] M. Karsai, M. Kivela, R. K. Pan et al., "Small but slow world: How network topology and burstiness slow down spreading," *Physical Review E: Statistical, Nonlinear, and Soft Matter Physics*, vol. 83, no. 2, Article ID 025102, 2011.
- [18] J. C. Wierman and D. J. Marchette, "Modeling computer virus prevalence with a susceptible-infected-susceptible model with reintroduction," *Computational Statistics & Data Analysis*, vol. 45, no. 1, pp. 3–23, 2004.
- [19] C. Griffin and R. Brooks, "A note on the spread of worms in scale-free networks," *IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics, Part B: Cybernetics*, vol. 36, no. 1, pp. 198–202,2006.
- [20] L.-X. Yang, M. Draief, and X. Yang, "The impact of the network topology on the viral prevalence: a node-based approach," *PLoS ONE*, vol.10,no.7,articlee0134507,2015.
- [21] T. Zhou, J.-G. Liu, W.-J. Bai, G. Chen, and B.-H. Wang, "Behaviors of susceptible-infected epidemics on scale-free networks with identical infectivity," *Physical Review E: Statistical, Nonlinear, and Soft Matter Physics*, vol.74,no.5,ArticleID056109, 2006.
- [22] Zhen-HaoZhang , YurongSong Liang Zhang ,LinglingXia, Yin-Wei Li , and Guo-PingJiang (2018), A Novel Load Capacity Model with a Tunable Proportion of Load

Redistribution against Cascading Failures; Hindawi Security and Communication Networks  
Volume 2018, Article ID 6254876, 7 pages <https://doi.org/10.1155/2018/6254876>.

- [23] Qingyi Zhu ,1,2 SengW.Loke,2 andYeZhang (2018), State-Based Switching for Optimal Control of Computer Virus Propagation with External Device Blocking; Hindawi Security and Communication Networks Volume 2018, Article ID 4982523, 10 pages <https://doi.org/10.1155/2018/4982523>.
- [24] ChunmingZhang andJingweiXiao (2018), Stability Analysis of an Advanced Persistent Distributed Denial-of-Service Attack Dynamical Model; Hindawi Security and Communication Networks Volume 2018, Article ID 5353060, 10 pages <https://doi.org/10.1155/2018/5353060>.
- [25] YuYao , QiangFu ,WeiYang, Ying Wang, and ChuanSheng (2018), An Epidemic Model of Computer Worms with Time Delay and Variable Infection Rate; Hindawi Security and Communication Networks Volume 2018, Article ID 9756982, 11 pages <https://doi.org/10.1155/2018/9756982>.
- [26] ChunmingZhang (2018), Global Behavior of a Computer Virus Propagation Model on Multilayer Networks; Hindawi Security and Communication Networks Volume 2018, Article ID 2153195, 9 pages <https://doi.org/10.1155/2018/2153195>.
- [27] PengdengLi, Xiaofan Yang , Qingyu Xiong Junhao Wen, and YuanYanTang (2018), Defending against the Advanced Persistent Threat: An Optimal Control Approach; Hindawi Security and Communication Networks Volume 2018, Article ID 2975376, 14 pages <https://doi.org/10.1155/2018/2975376>