Juni Khyat (जूनी ख्यात) ISSN: 2278-4632 (UGC Care Group I Listed Journal) Vol-14, Issue-6, No.01, June: 2024 FRACTIONAL CALCULUS OPERATOR AND ITS APPLICATIONS IN THE UNIVALENT FUNCATIONS

Dr. Kamlesh Kumar Saini [Ph.D., M.Phil., M.Sc. (Maths)], Head of Department Mathematics Career Mahavidyalaya, Durana, Jhunjhunu (Raj.) 333041 Affiliated to Pandit Deendayal Upadhyaya Shekhawati University, Sikar (Raj.) India kamleshpilani@gmail.com

Abstract: The purpose of the present paper is to establish some results of Fractional Calculus Operator convex functions, In this paper, we obtain integral means inequalities for function and also we state integral means results for the classes studied as corollaries. For analytic functions g(z) and h(z) with g(0) = h(0), g(z) is said to be subordinate to h(z) if there exists an analytic function w(z) so that w(0) = 0, $|w(z)| < 1(z \in U)$ and g(z) = h(w(z)), we denote this subordination by g(z) < h(z), Many papers in the theory of univalent functions are devoted to linear integral or integro-differential operators which map the class SS (of normalized analytic and univalent functions in the open unit disk U).

Key-words : univalent, starlike and convex functions; generalized fractional integrals and derivatives; Gauss and generalized hypergeometric functions; Meijer's G-functions and Fox's H-functions.

1. Introduction and definitions

Let A denote the class of functions of the form

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n x^n$$

(1.1)

Which are analytic and univalent in the open disc

 $u = z \{z : z \in C_1 || z | < 1\}$ Also denote by T the subclass of A consisting of functions of the form (1.2)

$$f(z) = z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n, a_n \ge 0, z \in u$$

Introduce and studied by Silverman.

Following Goodman, Ronning introduced and studied the following subclasses

(i) A function $f \in A$ is said to be in the class k- uniformly starlike functions or order γ , if it satisfies the condition

$$Re\left\{\frac{zf^{t}(z)}{f(z)} - \gamma\right\} > k \left|\frac{zf^{t}(z)}{f(z)} - 1\right|, z \in u$$

$$\gamma < 1 \text{ and } k \ge 0$$

$$(1.3) 0 \le 100$$

(ii) A function $f \in A$ is said to be in the class UCV ($\gamma m k$) k- uniformly convex functions or order γ , if it satisfies the condition

$$Re\left\{1 + \frac{f'(z)}{f'(z)} - \gamma\right\} > k \left|\frac{zf^{tt}(z)}{f^{t}(z)} - 1\right|, z \in u$$
(1.4)

Indeed it follows form (1.3) and (1.4) that

$$f \in UCV(\gamma, k) \Leftrightarrow z f' \in Sp(\gamma, k)$$

Definition 1.1.1: Given γ $(-1 \le \gamma < 1)$, $k (k \ge 0)$, $k (k \ge o)$ and functions
$$\Phi(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \lambda_n z^n \text{ and } \Psi(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \mu_n z^n$$

Analytic in U, such that

 $\lambda_n \ge 0, \mu_n \ge 0 \text{ and } \lambda_n \ge \mu_n \text{ for } n \ge 2, \text{ we let } f \in A \text{ is in } U(\Phi, \Psi, \alpha, \beta) \text{ if } (f^*\Psi)(z) \neq 0 \text{ and } \lambda_n \ge \mu_n \text{ for } n \ge 2, \text{ we let } f \in A \text{ is in } U(\Phi, \Psi, \alpha, \beta) \text{ if } (f^*\Psi)(z) \neq 0 \text{ and } \lambda_n \ge \mu_n \text{ for } n \ge 2, \text{ we let } f \in A \text{ is in } U(\Phi, \Psi, \alpha, \beta) \text{ if } (f^*\Psi)(z) \neq 0 \text{ and } \lambda_n \ge 0$

Copyright @ 2024 Author

(1.5)

Juni Khyat (जूनी ख्यात) (UGC Care Group I Listed Journal) $Re\left\{\frac{(f^{*\Phi})(z)}{(f^{*\Psi})(z)} - \gamma\right\} \ge k \left|\frac{(f^{*\Phi})(z)}{(f^{*\Psi})(z)} - 1\right|, \forall z \in u$

Where (*) stands for the Hadamard product. Further let $UT(\Phi, \Psi, \alpha, \beta) = U(\Phi, \Psi, \alpha, \beta) \cap T$ We note that, by taking suitable choice of Φ, Ψ, α and β we obtain the following subclasses studied in literature. 1. $UT\left(\frac{z}{1-\alpha}, \frac{z}{2}, \gamma, 1\right) = TS_n(\gamma)$

1.
$$UT\left(\frac{z}{(1-z)^2}, \frac{z}{1-z}, \gamma, 1\right) = TS_p(\gamma)$$

2. $UT\left(\frac{z}{(1-z)^2}, \frac{z}{1-z}, \gamma, k\right) = S_pT(\gamma, k)$
3. $UT\left(\frac{z+z^2}{(1-z)^3}, \frac{z}{(1-z)^2}, 0, 1\right) = UCT$
4. $UT\left(\frac{z+z^2}{(1-z)^3}, \frac{z}{(1-z)^2}, 0, k\right) = UCT(k)$
5. $UT\left(\frac{z+z^2}{(1-z)^3}, \frac{z}{(1-z)^2}, \gamma, 1\right) = UCT(\gamma)$
6. $UT\left(\frac{z+z^2}{(1-z)^3}, \frac{z}{(1-z)^2}, \gamma, k\right) = UCT(\gamma, k)$
7. $UT\left(\frac{z}{(1-z)^2}, \frac{z}{1-z}, \gamma, 0\right) = S_T * (\gamma)$
8. $UT\left(\frac{z+z^2}{(1-z)^3}, \frac{z}{(1-z)^2}, \gamma, 0\right) = K_T(\gamma)$
9. $UT(\phi, \psi, \gamma, 0) = E_T(\phi, \psi, \gamma)$
10. $UT\left(\phi, \psi, \frac{1+\beta-2\alpha}{2(1-\alpha)}, 0\right) = B_T(\phi, \psi, \alpha, \beta)$

In fact many subclasses of T are defined and studied to investigate coefficient estimates, extreme points, convolution properties and closure properties etc. suitably choosing ϕ , ψ , γ and k.

In this paper, we obtain integral means inequalities for function and also we state integral means results for the classes studied as corollaries. For analytic functions g(z) and h(z) with g(0) = h(0), g(z) is said to be subordinate to h(z) if there exists an analytic function w(z) so that w(0) = 0, $|w(z)| < 1(z \in U)$ and g(z) = h(w(z)), we denote this subordination by g(z) < h(z). To prove our main result, we need the following lemmas.

Lemma 1.1.1: A function $f(z) \in UT(\phi, \psi, \gamma, k)$ or $\gamma(-1 \le \gamma < 1)$ and $k(k \ge 0)$ if and only if (1.1.1)

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [(1+k)\lambda_n - (\gamma+k)\mu_n]\alpha_n \le 1 - \gamma$$

th the extremal functions

The result is sharp with the extremal functions $fn(z) = z - \frac{1-\gamma}{\sigma(\gamma,k,n)} z^n, n \ge 2$

Where $\sigma(\gamma, k, n) = (1 + k)\lambda_n - (\gamma + k)\mu_n$, $\gamma(-1 \le \gamma < 1)$, $k(k \ge 0)$ and $n \ge 2$.

(1.1.2)

Juni Khyat (जूनी ख्यात) (UGC Care Group I Listed Journal)

ISSN: 2278-4632 Vol-14, Issue-6, No.01, June: 2024

(2.1)

(2.2)

Lemma 1.1.2: If the function f(z) and g(z) are analytic in U with $g(z) \prec f(z)$ then

$$\int_0^{2\pi} |g(re^{i\theta})| \eta d\theta \le \int_0^{2\pi} |f| |re^{i\theta}| \eta d\theta \eta > 0, z = re^{i\theta} and 0 < r < 1$$

Integral mean

Applying Lemma 1.1.1 and lemma 1.1.2, we prove the following theorem. Theorem 1.1.1 Let $\eta > 0$. if $f(z) \in UT(\phi, \psi, \gamma, k) - 1 \le \gamma < 1, k \ge 0$ and $\{\sigma(\gamma, k, n)\}_{n=2}^{\infty}$

Is non-decreasing sequence, then for

 $z = re^{i\theta}$ and 0 < r < 1 we have

$$\int_{0}^{2\pi} |f| (re^{i\theta}) |\eta d\theta \leq \int_{0}^{2\pi} |f_2| (re^{i\theta}) |\eta d\theta$$

Where $f_2(z) = z - \frac{1-\gamma}{\sigma(\gamma,k,2)} z^2$

Proof. Let f(z) of the form (1.2) and $f_2(z) = z - \frac{1-\gamma}{\sigma(\gamma,k,2)} z^2$ Then we must show that

$$\int_{0}^{2\pi} \left|1 - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \alpha_n z^{n-1}\right| \eta d\theta \leq \int_{0}^{2\pi} \left|1 - \frac{(1-\gamma)}{\sigma(\gamma, k, 2)} z\right| \eta d\theta$$

By Lemma 1.1.2 it suffices to show that

$$1 - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^{n-1} \prec 1 - \frac{1 - \gamma}{\sigma(\gamma, k, 2)^a}$$

Setting

$$1 - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^{n-1} = 1 - \frac{1 - \gamma}{\sigma(\gamma, k, 2)} w(z)$$

From (2.2) and (1.1), we obtain.

$$|w(z)| = \left|\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\sigma(\gamma, k, 2)}{1 - \gamma} a_n z^{n-1}\right|$$
$$\leq |z| \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\sigma(\gamma, k, 2)}{1 - \gamma} a_n$$
$$\leq |z| < 1$$

This completed the proof of the Theorem 1.1.1

By taking different choices of ϕ , ψ , γ and k in the above theorem, we can state the following integral means results for various subclasses.

Corollary 1.2.2: Let $\eta > 0$. if $f(z) \in UT\left(\frac{z+z^2}{(1-z)^3}, \frac{z}{(1-z^2)}, 0, 1\right) = UCT$, then for $z = re^{i\theta}$; 0 < r < 1, we have (2.3)

$$\int_{0}^{2\pi} |f(re^{i\theta})| \eta d\theta \leq \int_{0}^{2\pi} |g_2(re^{i\theta})| \eta d\theta$$

Where $g_2(z) = z - \frac{z^2}{6}$

Corollary 1.2.3: Let

$$\eta > 0. if(z) \epsilon UT\left(\frac{z+z^2}{(1-z)^3}, \frac{z}{(1-z^2)}, 0, k\right) = UCT(k)$$

And ≥ 0 , then for $z = re^{i\theta}$; 0 < r < 1, we have.

$$\int_{0}^{2\pi} |f(re^{i\theta})| \eta d\theta \leq \int_{0}^{2\pi} |g_{2}(re^{i\theta})| \eta d\theta$$

Where $g_2(z) = z - \frac{z^2}{2(k+2)}$

2. Fractional Calculus

Many essentially equivalent definitions of fractional calculus (that is fractional derivatives and fractional integrals) have been given in the literature. We find it to be convenient to recall here the following definitions which are used earlier by Owa.

Definition 2.2.1. The fractional integral of order ξ is defined, for a function

$$f(z), by D_{Z}^{-\xi} f(z) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\xi)} \int_{0}^{z} \frac{f(\xi)}{(z-\varsigma)^{1-\xi}} d\varsigma \qquad (\xi > 0)$$
(3.1)

Where the function f(z) is analytic in a simply connected region of the z-plane containing the origin and the multiplicity of the function $(z - \varsigma)^{\xi-1}$ is removed by requiring the function $\log (z - \varsigma)$ to be real when $z - \varsigma > 0$.

Definition 2.2.2. The fractional derivative of order ξ is defined, for a function f(z), by

$$D_{z}\xi f(z) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(-\xi)} \frac{d}{dz} \int_{0}^{z} \frac{f(\xi)}{(z-\varsigma)^{1-\xi}} d\varsigma \qquad (0 \le \xi < 1)$$
(3.2)

Where the function f(z) is constrained, and the multiplicity of the function $(z - \varsigma)^{-\xi}$ is removed as in Definition 2.2.1.

Definition 2.2.3. Under the hypotheses of Definition 2.2.2, the fractional derivative of order $n + \lambda$ is defined by

$$D_{z}^{m+\xi}f(z) = \frac{d^{m}}{dz^{m}}D_{z}\xi f(z) \qquad (0 \le \xi < 1; m \in N_{0})$$

$$(3.3)$$

Conclusion: From Definition 2.2.2, we have $D_z^0 f(z) = f(z)$, which in view of Definition 2.2.3

yield

$$D^{m+0}_{\ \ z}f(z) = \frac{d^m}{dz^m}D^0_{\ \ z}f(z) = f^{(m)}(z)$$

Juni Khyat (जूनी ख्यात) (UGC Care Group I Listed Journal)

Thus, $\frac{\lim_{\xi \to 0} D^{-\xi}_z f(z) = f(z)}{\xi \to 0} D^1 \frac{1}{z} f(z) = f'(z)$ we need the following definition of

fractional integral operator given by Srivastava, Saigo and Owa.

References

- (1) B. C. C a r l s o n, D. B. Shaffer, Star like and prestart like hyper geometric functions. SIAM J. Math. Anal. 15 (1984), 737-745.
- (2) Yu. E. H o h l o v, Convolutional operators preserving univalent functions (In Russian). Ukrain. Mat. Zh. 37 (1985), 220-226.
- (3) Yu. E. H o h l o v, Convolutional operators preserving univalent functions. Pliska Stud. Math. Bulgar. 10 (1989), 87-92.
- (4) V. S. K i r y a k o v a, Multiple Erd´elyi-Kober fractional differintegrals and their uses in univalent, star like and convex function theory. Ann. Univ. Sofia Fac. Math. Inform. Livre 1 - Math. 81 (1987), 261-283.
- (5) V. S. K i r y a k o v a, Generalized Fractional Calculus and Applications (Pitman Res. Notes in Math. Ser., 301). Longman, Harlow (1994).
- (6) V. S. K i r y a k o v a, H. M. S r i v a s t a v a, Generalized multiple Riemann Liouville fractional differintegrals and their applications in univalent function theory. In: Analysis, Geometry and Groups: A Riemann Legacy Volume (H.M. Srivastava and Th.M. Rassias, Ed-s). Hadronic Press, Palm Harbor, Florida (1993), 191-226.
- (7) V. S. K i r y a k o v a, M. S a i g o, S. O w a, Distortion and characterization theorems for starlike and convex functions related to generalized fractional calculus. In: New Development of Convolutions (Surikaisekikenkyusyo Kokyuroku, Vol.1012), Kyoto University (1997), 25-46. 100 V. S. Kiryakova, M. Saigo, H. M. Srivastava
- (8) R. J. L i b e r a, Some classes of regular univalent functions. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 16 (1965), 755-758.
- (9) A. E. L i v i n g s t o n, On the radius of univalence of certain analytic functions. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 17 (1966), 352-357.
- (10) O. I. M a r i c h e v, Volterra equation of Mellin convolutional type with a Horn function in the kernel (in Russian). Izv. AN BSSR Ser. Fiz.-Mat. Nauk, No.1 (1974), 128-129.
- (11) S. O w a, M. S a i g o, H. M. S r i v a s t a v a, Some characterization theorems for starlike and convex functions involving a certain fractional integral operator. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 140 (1989), 419-426.
- (12) S. O w a, H. M. S r i v a s t a v a, Some applications of the generalized Libera integral operator. Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A Math. Sci. 62 (1986), 125-128.
- (13) Sh. Najafzadeh, S.R. Kulkarni, D. Kalaj: Application of convolution and Dziok-Srivastava linear operators on analytic and p-valent functions, Filomat (Fac. Sc. Math., Univ. Nis) 20 (2006), 115-124.
- (14) V. Kiryakova: The operators of generalized fractional calculus and their action in classes of univalent functions, Geometric Function Theory and Applications' 2010 (Proc. Intern. Symp., Soa, 27-31.08.2010), 29-40.
- (15) V. Kiryakova: Criteria for univalence of the Dziok-Srivastava and the Srivastava-Wright operators in the class A, Appl. Math. Comput. 218 (2011), 883-892.
- (16) V. Kiryakova: A brief story about the operators of the generalized fractional calculus, Fract. Calc. Appl. Anal. 11(2) (2008), 203220.
- (17) V. Kiryakova: The operators of generalized fractional calculus and their action in classes of univalent functions, Geometric Function Theory and Applications' 2010 (Proc. Intern. Symp., Soa, 27-31.08.2010), 2940.
- (18) V. Kiryakova: Criteria for univalence of the Dziok-Srivastava and the Srivastava-Wright operators in the class A, Appl. Math. Comput. 218 (2011), 883892.
- (19) V. Kiryakova: Unied approach to univalency of the Dziok-Srivastava and the fractional calculus operators, Adv. Math. Sci. Journal 1(1) (2012), 3343.
- (20) S. Owa: Some applications of fractional calculus operators for analytic functions, Geometric Function Theory and Applications' 2010 (Proc. Intern. Symp., Soa, 27-31.08.2010), 4346.